

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86722
Title of the Manuscript:	An online study on career aspirations of post-graduate students at Assam Agricultural University
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Research design was not mentioned in methodology (ex-post facto or experimental)</li><li>2. Analysis methods frequency and percentage not tables and pie charts</li><li>3. Supportive studies missing in the results and discussion</li><li>4. References are not according to journal prescribed format</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. More discussion (reasons) can be included for the results.</li><li>2. Key words that are in can be avoided .</li><li>3. Results should be written in past tense rather than present.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The most basic analysis methods were used, suggested to go for establishing relation so that quality of paper may increase</li><li>2. Repeated words can be avoided in the text</li><li>3. Graphs representation of whole scenario than individual will be more appropriate</li><li>4. Online study in the title can be changed as it is mentioned in methodology</li><li>5. Scope for improvement in introduction</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balusu Gopichand
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences Bangalore, India