

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86703
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND ADOPTION OF SYSTEM OF RICE INTENCIFICATION (SRI) CULTIVATION PRACTICES AMONG RICE GROWERS IN KOHIMA DISTRICT OF NAGALAND
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Abstract	Re-write From the abstract it is not clarified why the study was important/ undertaken Conclusion missing Recommendation missing There should be no reference in the abstract (SEE COMMENTS IN THE DOCUMENT)	
Introduction	SRI system- Need to be defined at the beginning Need to be re-written in an organised manner References should in cooperated Principles of SRI should be written in prose form	
Materials and Methods	Study area not well defined (Described) i.e Provide geographical information and the location of the study area When was the study done? (Year and month of the study) How was this number of responded decided? Any sample size formula used? How did you select the farmers who participated in the study? List the villages where the study was undertaken in bracket () Data analysis – Describe how methods used for data analysis How many farmers did you interview per village? Avoid use of vague words such as respondents, Scheme instead be specific	
RESULTS	Most of the sub headings are incomplete / Same as table headings – SEE MY COMMENTS IN THE MANUSCRIPT Table 1 should be split into two: No 1 to 8 looking at it keenly is on farmers' demographics. The rest of the section can form a separate table with new title Consider Replacing per cent with % throughout the document SEE REPORTING FOR TABLE 4! Is this supposed to be correlation OR association? Correlation is done using Pearson or spearman as you have done here. Association is tested using Chi square. TABLE 3 AND 4 – Always highlight the results before the tables NOTE – One the results are highlighted you are supposed to compare them with the results of the previous studies, explain reasons for the findings (Why results are the way they appear, Significance of your findings – do this before giving the results References are poorly done – Additionally almost the references given here do not appear on the text!	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The author needs guidance on manuscript preparation	

Comment [p1]: Which respondents be precise i.e "Socio-economic and personal characteristics of the rice farmers in Nagaland District in India)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Citation/ Referencing missing throughout the document	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fredrick O. Ogolla
Department, University & Country	Chuka University, Kenya