

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86703
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND ADOPTION OF SYSTEM OF RICE INTENCIFICATION (SRI) CULTIVATION PRACTICES AMONG RICE GROWERS IN KOHIMA DISTRICT OF NAGALAND
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Check the formatting such as the spacing between lines, bolding words in the middle of the paragraphs etc.</li> <li>- The numbering for results and discussions is 1?</li> <li>- There is no clear problem statement which is a crucial part in papers.</li> <li>- No research hypotheses when there are correlation analyses being conducted.</li> <li>- Research Questions are not in line with the findings. Need to align and rewrite</li> <li>- Poor literature review of past studies.</li> <li>- Need to explain in more detail and interpret the results presented in the tables.</li> <li>- There is no research questions on Pearson correlation test were conducted.</li> <li>- Did not have clear conclusion on how the results can mitigate the problem gap as well as how it can be beneficial to various stakeholders.</li> <li>- Poor references because most of the citations in the text were not in the references list. There is list of references that are not in the text. Need to check and refer to how references were listed.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No proper citations and references being done</li> <li>- Relatively high turnitin percentage (approximately 35%)</li> </ul>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiam Chooi Chea
Department, University & Country	Open University Malaysia, Malaysia