

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86559
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE OF TRIBAL FARMERS TOWARDS IMPROVED HATHEI CHILLI PRODUCTION PRACTICES IN SIRARAKHONG VILLAGE OF UKHRUL DISTRICT, MANIPUR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A more suitable title should be chosen for the study. It should be explained what the GI is. The scope and purpose of the subject should be clearly explained in the introduction. Similar studies on the subject should be mentioned. The Materials and Methods section is not clear enough. The data collection method (quantitative or qualitative) should be fully specified. The analysis method applied in the study should also be clearly stated. Information should be given about the indicators in the tables. Table 1 should be reviewed. Necessary explanations should be made. There is uncertainty in the categories of MASS MEDIA EXPOSURE, INNOVATIVENESS, RISK ORIENTATION, ECONOMIC MOTIVATION. Detail is needed. Table 2 is not interpreted. The knowledge score should be explained in Table 3. Table 4 should be explained in detail. The conclusion section is insufficient. References should be reviewed.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Ukav
Department, University & Country	Adiyaman University, Turkey