

Original Research Article

Effects of Biochar on Yield of Chilli, and Soil chemical properties

ABSTRACT

Aims: To examine the effects of different doses of biochar on yield of chilli and soil chemical properties under Samtenling condition which represents the wet-subtropical agro-climatic zone of Bhutan.

Study design: RCBD with three replications and five treatments.

Place and Duration of Study: Agriculture Research and Development Centre (ARDC), Samtenling, Sarpang district located in southern Bhutan, between October 2020 and May 2021.

Methodology: Composite soil samples were collected before and after biochar addition and their chemical properties were analyzed at Soil and Plant Analytical Laboratory (SPAL), National Soil Services Centre, Thimphu. One hybrid variety (sv2319HA) of chilli was used in all the treatments with five different biochar doses (No biochar addition, biochar addition @ 0.8 t acre⁻¹, 1.6 t acre⁻¹, 3.2 t acre⁻¹ and 4.9 t acre⁻¹). Growth and yield data of chilli were collected and analyzed using the multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) at $P = .05$ using R studio team (2021), version 1.4.1103.

Results: The yield of chilli significantly differed ($P = .03$) under different doses of biochar but variations in growth were insignificant except number of branches ($P = .03$). Overall, the yield of chilli increased from 0.3 t acre⁻¹ to 0.9 t acre⁻¹ with an increase in biochar rates from 0.8 t acre⁻¹ to 3.2 t acre⁻¹. Biochar addition of 3.2 t acre⁻¹ significantly increased the yield of chilli (0.95 t acre⁻¹) as compared to control (0.346 t acre⁻¹). Soil pH, % nitrogen, available P (mg kg⁻¹), available K (mg kg⁻¹), CEC, % BS and % organic matter increased with increasing quantities of rice husk biochar.

Conclusion: The different doses of biochar addition to the soil had a significantly positive impact on the yield of chilli as it also improved the soil chemical properties. Addition of 3.2 t acre⁻¹ of biochar may be recommended as per the study since the maximum yield of chilli was recorded in soil treated with biochar @ 3.2 t acre⁻¹.

Comment [YPS1]: State the abbreviation for RCBD.

Keywords: Biochar; Chilli; Yield; Growth; Soil chemical properties

1. INTRODUCTION

Biochar is a recent but underutilized soil technology. Biochar (charcoal or agri-coal) is a carbon (C) rich product derived from the pyrolysis of organic material at relatively low temperature (<700°C) [1], having potential use as an amendment to enhance soil properties and agricultural systems productivity. It is mainly composed of stable aromatic forms of organic carbon that do not degrade to CO₂ making it a relatively simple climate change mitigation method [2]. The application of biochar to soil could play a substantial role in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and climate change effects [3]. The highly porous nature of biochar improves the soil water retention ability as well as plant available water (PAW) [4]. Studies indicated that application of biochar improves crop production by improving physical and chemical properties of soil such as water holding capacity, Cation exchange capacity (CEC), adsorption of plant nutrients, and soil pH [2][5]. Therefore, it enhances the soil fertility [6] and sustains crop productivity [7] [8] while it also reduces leaching [2].

Chilli is an important ingredient of Bhutanese dietary habits, consumed either as a vegetable or used as food flavoring spice. In Bhutan, the average import and production of chilli from 2014-2018 was 1300.716 MT and 9,261 MT respectively [9]. The import restriction in recent years led to an increased need for domestic production. However, water and nutrient deficiency often limits the crop growth and development as chilli is a high nitrogen feeder and sensitive to water stress [10][11]. Studies also reported that the soil fertility status in Bhutan as low to medium and the mean pH value as acidic in nature (<5.6) which indicates the need to improve soil fertility through the application of various soil amendments [12]. The positive effects of biochar application on crop yields in most of the crops such as rice [13], maize [14], soybean [15], cassava [16] and chilli [17] have been proven in various studies. Therefore, this study was conducted to examine the effects of biochar on soil properties and assess the influence of biochar on growth and yield of chilli under Samtenling condition which represents wet-subtropical agro-climatic zone.

Comment [YPS2]: Use yield data or chili production per unit area as a comparison as a reference for this research.

Comment [YPS3]: Add various references to research results that underlie the use of biochar at a dose of 1.6; 3.2, and 4.9 t acre-1.

Comment [YPS4]: Add hypotheses to guide the achievement of research objectives and findings

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study site

The study was conducted at Agriculture Research and Development Centre, Samtenling, Sarpang in 2020 and 2021. The experimental site falls under wet-subtropical agro-ecological zone and is located (26° 54'30" N and 90° 25'55"E) at 375 masl with an average annual rainfall of 2500mm – 5500mm; and maximum and minimum temperature of 35°C and 12°C respectively.

Biochar used in this study was produced from rice husk using a traditional farmer's kiln under oxygen limited conditions where the organic waste fuel was burnt in the inner cone shaped structure to heat the rice husk in the outer cylindrical drum for over 5 hours, until no visible smoke was emitted from the chimney. The standard biochar properties and total elemental composition produced from rice husk using this method are as given in Table 1. [18],

Table 1. Properties and Elemental composition of rice husk biochar

Wt. Loss (%)	Ash (%)	C (%)	N (%)	pH	Si (mg/kg)	Al (mg/kg)	Ca (mg/kg)	Mg (mg/kg)	Na (mg/kg)	K (mg/kg)	P (mg/kg)	S (mg/kg)	Fe (mg/kg)	Mn (mg/kg)	Zn (mg/kg)	
Rice Husk Biochar	56	44	46	0.63	9.7	193748	212	1340	1683	12353	8502	2983	89	220	454	309

*Wt. Loss: Weight loss

2.2. Experimental methodology

The experiment was laid out using Randomized complete block design with three replications. Five treatments were involved which consisted of various combinations of biochar and farm yard manure (FYM). While the quantity of FYM was constant (i.e. 6 t acre⁻¹) in all experimental plots, addition of biochar in the experimental plots differed across treatments as follows: 1. No biochar addition (control; C), 2. Addition of biochar @ 0.8 t acre⁻¹ (B₁), 3. Addition of biochar @1.6 t acre⁻¹ (B₂), 4. Addition of biochar @3.2 t acre⁻¹ (B₃) and 5. Addition of biochar @4.9 t acre⁻¹ (B₄). The chilli variety used in this study was 'sv2319HA' which is a high yielding hybrid variety. The seeds were sown in October in soil potting media in pluck trays under greenhouse condition and were transplanted in uniform rows 30 days after sowing in plot size of 6 m² (3m x 2m). Chilli seedlings were spaced 70 cm apart with 70 cm between rows. Uniform intercultural operations were applied to all treatments.

Comment [YPS5]: Why not use 4.8 t acre-1 ?

2.3 Data collection and analysis and statistical analysis

Composite soil samples were collected before and after biochar addition using random sampling methods from the respective plots. The samples were then analyzed at Soil and Plant Analytical Laboratory (SPAL), National Soil Services Centre, Thimphu to determine the changes in pH, OM, N, Avail P, Avail K and CEC as affected by biochar addition.

Data on growth parameters - plant height (PH), number of branches (NB), stem diameter (SD) and plant canopy (PC) were recorded at 20 days interval after transplantation upto 40 days. Yield parameters - single pod weight (SPW), number of pods/plant (NP), pod length (PL), pod diameter (PD), Pod weight/plant (PWP), and yield (Y) were estimated from three harvests.

The multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) for data on all parameters was carried out at $P = .05$ using R studio team (2021), version 1.4.1103. The packages used for statistical analysis were “dplyr” [19], and “agricolae” [20]. Post hoc analysis was conducted using Tukey’s HSD for observations with significant variations among the treatments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of biochar application on growth and yield of chilli

Significant variations were observed among the treatments only in terms of yield, pod weight/plant, number of pods/plant and number of branches/plant (Table 2). However, results also indicated an overall trend of linear increase in fruit yield and plant traits of chilli with the corresponding increase in biochar addition till B₃. With further increase in biochar rate from B₃, there was a decrease in yield and plant height, plant canopy, pod weight, number of pods and pod size at B₄.

The biochar application has significantly influenced the yield of chilli. Although an increase in biochar rates led to a corresponding increase in the yield, application of 3.2 t acre⁻¹ (B₃) significantly increased the yield (0.95 t acre⁻¹) only over control (0.346 t acre⁻¹). However, the yield of chilli decreased at B₄. Pod weight/plant of chilli was significantly affected across the treatments ($P = .03$). Similar to yield, B₃ has the highest pod weight/plant (93.99g) and is significantly greater than C - Control (34.2g). The number of pods/plants also differed significantly among the treatments ($P = .04$). In relation to C (control) significantly higher number of pods were recorded in B₂ (27). The biochar application did not significantly influence plant height, stem diameter, plant canopy, single pod weight and pod size although there was an overall increasing trend till B₃.

Table 2. Effect of different doses of biochar on yield and yield attributes of Chilli

T	NB	PH (cm)	SD (cm)	PC (cm)	SPW (g)	NP	PL (cm)	PD (cm)	PWP (g)	Yield (t acre ⁻¹)
C	23.05 ^b	30.70	0.63	25.01	2.99	13.79 ^b	7.69	0.74	34.24 ^b	0.34 ^b
B ₁	23.72 ^b	33.86	0.67	27.81	3.01	24.11 ^{ab}	7.74	0.77	42.94 ^{ab}	0.43 ^{ab}
B ₂	31.55 ^{ab}	42.16	0.84	32.29	3.19	27.14 ^a	7.99	0.76	78.39 ^{ab}	0.79 ^{ab}
B ₃	47.41 ^a	44.56	0.96	39.28	3.36	26.48 ^{ab}	7.66	0.76	93.99 ^a	0.95 ^a
B ₄	33.36 ^{ab}	39.65	0.83	33.70	2.84	22.51 ^{ab}	7.52	0.74	57.20 ^{ab}	0.57 ^{ab}
P value	.03	.07	.07	.052	.10	.04	.76	.71	.03	.03

CV (%)	25.95	14.95	16.89	15.95	6.90	21.03	5.70	3.93	33.40	33.38
SD	11.42	7.34	0.16	6.51	0.25	6.29	0.39	0.02	28.48	0.28

*Means with same letters are not significant at $P = .05$

T. Treatment, C. Control (No biochar application), B₁. Biochar application @ 0.8 t acre⁻¹, B₂. Biochar application @ 1.6 t acre⁻¹, B₃. Biochar application @ 3.2 t acre⁻¹, B₄. Biochar application @ 4.9 t acre⁻¹, NB. Number of branches, PH. Plant height, SD. Stem diameter, PC. Plant canopy, SPW. Single pod weight, NP. Number of pods/plant, PL. Pod length, PD. Pod diameter, PWP. Pod weight/plant, CV. Coefficient of variation, SD. Standard deviation.

The findings of this study align with the review where increased growth of plants due to increased plant photosynthetic rate through biochar application was reported [21]. Similarly, an increase in yield in red chilli for biochar-treated soil as compared to the yield in pure acid soil was also found [22]. Moreover, an experiment on residual effect of biochar showed significant influence on growth and yield of red chilli [17]. Biochar application also significantly increased yield, dry matter content and specific gravity of potato tubers [23].

The observed increase in yield and yield components could be explained by biochar soil amendments improving soil organic matter content, increasing nutrient retention, and enhancing soil water holding capacity. Biochar has high total porosity, and it could retain both water and nutrients in small pores enhancing water holding capacity of the soil [8]. With increase in the surface area and porosity of biochar, the water holding capacity, nutrient retention and their release linearly increased [24]. Studies also reported that biochar application enhances K and P availability, CEC and can mitigate leaching losses [2]. observed that biochar application at 10% (w/w) reduced cumulative leaching of P (37.7%), NH⁴⁺ (50.2%), and nitrate (NO₃⁻) which could either be due to the enhanced adsorption of these ions to the surface of biochar, the increased immobilization by the greater microbial biomass resulting from biochar addition, or both [25].

The addition of biochar in the tropical environment has been associated with increased plant uptake of P, K, Ca, Zn and Cu [7]. It could be because biochar contains most of the plant nutrients (macro and micro) in itself. In addition, growing crops with biochar resulted in 11% increase in average yield on dry land soils [26]. [27] and [21] observed an increase in the OM content of sandy soil by (42-72%) and (18-70%) respectively. The latter also observed decreased soil bulk density up to 75% compared to non-amended soil. In sandy loam, biochar application translated to greater water use efficiency for plants grown under moisture limitation [28].

.The chilli plants performed significantly better in the plots amended with biochar when compared to non-amended plots (Table 2). It may be attributed to the long term beneficial effects of biochar application on microbial growth upon release in soil solution as reported in a review conducted [21]. Few studies also reported 6.6 to 31.2% higher fungal abundance upon biochar application to the soil which may be due to its suppressive effect on pathogens [29]. Corroborating earlier findings another study stated that biochar application to an asparagus field soil led to a decrease in root lesions caused by *Fusarium oxysporum*, *F. asparagi*, and *F. proliferatum* compared to the non-amended control [30].

The decrease in yield, yield components and growth in chilli with a higher dose of biochar application in the current study agrees with the findings of [31] and [31] where both reported the negative effect on microbial biomass when biochar was applied at higher concentration. Similarly, [33] also reported the presence of volatile compounds such as benzene in biochar which had detrimental effects on soil microbial biomass. [34] also noted that high concentrations of biochar could have a negative impact on soil microbes through the suppression of biological N fixation. Similarly, [22] also reported that larger quantities of biochar do not substantially affect crop growth in red chilli grown in heavy acid soil.

3.1.1. Correlation for quantitative traits of chilli

The correlation analysis for plant traits (PH, NB, SD, PC) and fruit traits (SPW, NP, PL, PD and PWP) of chilli revealed high (>0.7) significant ($P < .01$) positive correlation of PWP (fruit yield) with PH, NB, SD and PC (Table 3). [35] Also reported significant and positive correlation for fruit yield per plant with fruit weight and number of branches in chilli. [36] Reported similar results where number of branches, stem diameter and canopy width had positively significant phenotypic and genotypic correlations with fruit yield. PWP was positively correlated with SPW, NP, PL and PD although it was non-significant ($P > .05$). [36] also reported a positive association of fruit yield with fruit length and fruit diameter in a similar correlation study carried out in hot pepper.

Table 3. Pearson's correlation for quantitative traits of chilli

	PH (cm)	NB	SD (cm)	PC (cm)	SPW (g)	NP	PL (cm)	PD (cm)	PWP (kg)
PH (cm)	1.00**								
NB	0.77*	1.00**							
SD	0.92**	0.87**	1.00**						
PC (cm)	0.83**	0.84**	0.92**	1.00**					
SPW (g)	0.31	0.62	0.43	0.37	1.00**				
NP	0.36	0.30	0.38	0.39	0.45	1.00**			
PL (cm)	0.33	0.40	0.38	0.15	0.57	0.15	1.00**		
PD (cm)	0.35	0.47	0.46	0.52	0.50	0.34	0.48	1.00**	
PWP	0.84**	0.84**	0.90**	0.79**	0.61	0.59	0.48	0.46	1.00**

*Significant at $P = .05$

**Significant at $P = .01$

PH. Plant height, NP. Number of pods/plant, NB. Number of branches, PL. Pod length, SD. Stem diameter, PD. Pod diameter, PC. Plant canopy, PWP, Pod weight/plant, SPW: Single pod weight.

While PH was significantly and positively correlated with NB, SD, PC and PWP, NP had weak positive correlation (<0.2) with PL. [38] also found positive correlation between PH and SD, and negative correlation for NP and PL in a similar correlation analysis conducted in chilli. NB had high positive (>0.7) significant correlation with PC which is plausible since higher number of branches could result in a larger canopy width. Correlation analysis helps determine the traits on which selection should be based for plant breeding purposes [39]. Hence, as per the results in the study, strong positive correlations of plant traits with fruit yield (PWP) can be considered for indirect selection in breeding programs.

3.2. Effect of biochar on soil chemical properties

The initial soil condition of the study area had an average pH of 4.3 (strongly acidic) and sandy loam texture. The soil had very low N, P and K content with an average value of 0.20% and 23.08 mg/kg and 7.4 mg/kg respectively. Average Cation exchange capacity, soil carbon and organic matter were also recorded with 16.3me/100g, 1.8% and 3.1% respectively. All the values indicated that the soil had poor fertility and only a small amount of nutrients were available to the plants. We recorded changes in soil chemical properties caused by the application of biochar into the soil. The addition of biochar significantly influenced

the soil pH. Changes in avail N, P, K, C, OM and CEC were also recorded, although no significant differences were recorded statistically (table 4).

The application of biochar has increased soil pH, available P, K and CEC. The increase in pH was significantly different between control and treatments ($P = .00$) with the maximum increase in B_1 (1.28). However, progressively increasing the amount of biochar from B_1 to B_4 did not substantially lead to a corresponding increase in soil pH. Although soil available P, K and CEC also increased with biochar addition, the increase was not significantly different among the treatments ($p > 0.05$). The maximum increase in P, K and CEC was observed in B_3 , B_4 and B_1 respectively.

Available N decreased with biochar application although the extent of decrease was not significant between the treatments ($P > 0.05$). The maximum and minimum decline were observed in B_2 (-0.10 mg) and B_1 (-0.02 mg). Soil carbon content decreased with application of rice husk biochar except for B_2 (0.23 %). However, the decrease in C was not significant across the treatments ($p = 0.52$). C (-0.17%), B_1 (-0.05 %) and B_3 (-0.51 %) indicated decline in O.M. content while B_2 (0.40 %) and B_4 (0.11 %) showed an increase in O.M.

Table 4. Between-treatments comparison of mean differences observed in soil properties before and after biochar addition

Treatment	pH ² -pH ¹ *	N ² -N ¹ (%)	P ² -P ¹ (mg/kg)	K ² -K ¹ (mg/kg)	C ² -C ¹ (%)	OM ² -OM ¹ (%)	CEC ² -CEC ¹ (me/100g)
C	0.10 ^a	-0.05	10.99	16.50	-0.10	-0.17	-3.66
B ₁	1.28 ^b	-0.02	27.85	11.53	-0.03	-0.05	11.62
B ₂	0.85 ^b	-0.10	20.43	18.55	0.23	0.40	3.20
B ₃	1.13 ^b	-0.07	29.59	11.85	-0.30	-0.51	11.44
B ₄	1.11 ^b	-0.06	8.49	18.84	-0.06	0.11	2.32
P value	.00	0.52	0.51	0.96	0.52	0.52	0.22
C.V.	55.70	0.00	75.10	45.80	113.40	113.40	49.60

Means with the same letters are not significantly different at $P = .05$.

²Value after biochar addition
¹Value before biochar addition

...

The increase in pH due to biochar addition was observed in various studies [22][40]. This increase in soil pH may be due to the high pH value of added biochar which can increase soil base saturation, decrease the

Comment [YPS6]: A more detailed description of the discussion is needed to reveal changes in the chemical properties and mechanisms of biochar in the soil so that nutrients and water are absorbed by plant roots for growth.

level of exchangeable aluminium, and consume soil protons [41]. The increase in pH may also be explained by the alkalinity of added biochar which can act as a liming agent in an acidic soil [42][43].

Biochar application has been found to improve soil phosphorus availability to plants under arid and semiarid agro-ecosystems since biochar alters soil available P by acting as a P source itself, altering P solubility through changes in soil pH [44] or by promoting P solubilizing bacteria [44]. Biochar has a significant amount of soluble P salts generated during the charring of organic materials [46]. According to [47] biochar's liming impact on acid soil causes Al and Fe to precipitate as $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ and $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$, increasing phosphorus availability in the soil system; P availability is greatest in the pH range 5.5-6.0.

The application of biochar increased % organic matter, available K (mg/kg) and CEC which agrees with the study conducted by [22] who reported that biochar application improves acid soil properties (pH, % OM, and % OC) to the levels needed to support plant growth. The possible reason for increased K and CEC might be due to the presence of strong carboxylic and phenolic functional groups on the surface of the biochar particles [48][21] or due to the presence of cation exchange sites on its surface. The increase in K may be due to biochar's porous structure, large surface area, and negative surface charge which can increase the soil's CEC and allow for the retention of nutrients, including K [49].

Applying biochar to agricultural soils increases CEC over time due to biochar surface oxidation and the abundance of negatively charged surface functional groups [50][51]. A 2-year field experiment in degraded uplands of East Java, Indonesia (tropical) reported an increase in CEC on biochar application which was attributed to high surface negative charge resulting from oxidation of carboxylic and phenolic groups of biochar [16]. [52] Indicated that the biochar treatments significantly increased CEC by 4 to 30 % compared to the controls. Similarly, [53] also reported a 21 % increase in CEC in soil following application of biochar produced from rice husk and sawdust. The Organic matter content of the soil increased with increasing doses of biochar. The reason probably is because biochar also undergoes biodegradation, although it is considered stable in the soil system [54].

The current study found a decrease in Available N and corresponding increase in pH [55] stated that the decline in soil nitrogen is caused by the increase in soil pH promoting the transformation of ammonium nitrogen into nitrate nitrogen leading to reduction of available soil nitrogen.




Figure 1: Values of soil pH, Avail N, Avail P, Avail K, Soil carbon, OM and CEC before and after application of biochar.

C. Control (No biochar application), B₁. Biochar application @ 0.8 t acre⁻¹, B₂. Biochar application @ 1.6 t acre⁻¹, B₃. Biochar application @ 3.2 t acre⁻¹, B₄. Biochar application @ 4.9 t acre⁻¹, pH 1. Soil pH before biochar addition, pH 2. Soil pH after biochar addition, N 1. Available nitrogen before biochar addition, N 2. Available nitrogen after biochar addition, C 1. Soil carbon before biochar addition, C 2. Soil carbon after biochar addition, O.M. 1. Soil organic matter before biochar addition, O.M. 2. Soil organic matter after biochar addition, P 1. Available phosphorus before biochar addition, P 2. Available phosphorus after biochar addition, K 1. Available potassium before biochar addition, K 2. Available potassium after biochar addition CEC 1. Cation exchange capacity before biochar addition, CEC 2. Cation exchange capacity after biochar addition

4. CONCLUSION

The subtropical region of Bhutan experiences annual rainfall ranging from 2500-5000mm making the soil resource very vulnerable to nutrient leaching, erosion losses, and soil degradation. To reduce land degradation and nutrient leaching losses we must adopt new management options to improve soil productivity and ensure sustainable use of land. The application of biochar proved to improve the soil's chemical properties as well as the performance of chili through the findings of this study. The different doses of biochar studied in this experiment favored the improvement of soil chemical properties such as soil pH, P, K, CEC, O.M, and C. The yield of chili also increased in soils treated with biochar with the maximum yield of 0.975 t acre⁻¹ obtained with the use of 3.2 t acre⁻¹ of biochar. To improve the soil properties and production of chili, biochar dose @3.2 t acre⁻¹ for acidic soil of sub-tropical regions is recommended as per the findings of the study.

Comment [YPS7]: The findings of this study (at a dose of 3.2 t acre⁻¹ biochar equivalent to the use of 32 kg of biochar per m²) need to be appreciated, but there are still many questions that can be asked regarding the effectiveness of using very large amounts of biochar, therefore further research is recommended. to answer those doubts.

References:

1. Lehmann J., Joseph S. Biochar for environmental management: science and technology.2009.
2. Sohi S, Lopez-Capel E, Krull E, Bol R. Biochar, climate change and soil: A review to guide future research.2009.CSIROhttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/228656328_Biochar_Climate_Change_and_Soil_A_Review_to_Guide_Future_Research
3. Woolf, D., Amonette, J. E., Street-Perrott, F. A., Lehmann, J., & Joseph, S. Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. *Nature Communications*. 2010; 1(1). doi:10.1038/ncomms1053
4. Mishra, A. & Shinogi, Y. Effects of biochar on soil physio-chemical propertie. Proceedings of International Exchange and Innovation Conference on Engineering & Sciences (IEICES).2018;4:102-105 <https://doi.org/10.15017/1961296>
5. Lehmann, J. & Joseph, S. Biochar for environmental management: An introduction. *Biochar for Environmental Management*, 2015; 33-46. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203762264>
6. Kloss S., Zehetner F., Wimmer B., Buecker J., Rempt F., Soja G. (2014): Biochar application to temperate soils: Effects on soil fertility and crop growth under greenhouse conditions. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 177: 3–15
7. Lehmann J, Rondon M. Bio-char soil management on highly-weathered soils in the humid tropics. In N. T. Uphoff et al. (Eds), *Biological approaches to sustainable soil systems*. Crc/Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton. 2006. Link: <https://bit.ly/2HU6C2C>
8. Asai H, Samson BK, Stephan HM, Songyikhangsuthor K, Homma K, Kiyono Y. et al. Biochar amendment techniques for upland rice production in Northern Laos. 1. Soil physical properties, leaf SPAD and grain yield. 2009; <https://tohoku.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/biochar-amendment-techniques-for-upland-rice-production-in-northe>
9. Bhutan RNR statistics, 2018. <https://www.doa.gov.bt/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/RNR-statistics-2018.pdf>
10. Walters, A.; Hughes, C. Chili Pepper Information Sheet for Afghanistan; Univ. California Regents: Davis, CA, USA, 2004; Available online: http://afghanag.ucdavis.edu/a_horticulture/row-crops/peppers/IS_Chili_Peppers.pdf (accessed on 20 August 2016).
11. Walters, S., & Jha, A. Sustaining Chili Pepper Production in Afghanistan through Better Irrigation Practices and Management. *Agriculture*. 2016; 6(4):62. MDPI AG. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/agriculture6040062>
12. Chhetri, S., Gurung, T.R., Uden, T. Gurung, M. & Jamtsho, K.L. "Assessment of soil fertility status using soil nutrient index in three land use systems in Bhutan" *Bhutanese Journal of Agriculture*. 2020;2(1):60-

72<https://www.bja.gov.bt/assessment-of-soil-fertility-status-using-soil-nutrient-index-in-three-landuse-systems-in-bhutan/>

13. Masulili, A., Utomo, W.H. and Syechfani. Rice husk biochar for rice-based cropping system in acid soil and the characteristics of rice husk biochar and its influence on the properties of acid sulphate soils and rice growth in West Kalimantan, Indonesia," J. Agric. Sci. (Canada). 2010; 3:25–33. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v2n1p39>
14. Utomo, W.H., Sukartono, Kusuma, Z. and Nugroho, W.H. "Soil fertility status, nutrient uptake, and maize (*Zea mays* L.) Yield following biochar application on sandy soils of Lombok, Indonesia," J. Trop. Agric. 2011; 49:47–52. <http://tropag.kau.in/index.php/ojs2/article/view/236/236>
15. Tago, S.O., Horiuchi, T. & Matsui, T. Effects of carbonized and dried chicken manures on the growth, yield, and N content of soybean. *Plant* .2008; 06:211–220. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9573-9>
16. Islami, T. Guritno, B., Basuki, N. And Suryanto, A. "Biochar for sustaining productivity of cassava based cropping systems in the degraded lands of East Java, Indonesia," Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2011; 49:40-46. <http://tropag.kau.in/index.php/ojs2/article/view/235>
17. Wisnubroto, E.I., Utomo, W.H. and Indrayatie, E.R. "Residual Effect of Biochar on Growth and Yield of Red Chili (*Capsicum Annum* L.)," Journal of Advanced Agricultural Technologies. 2017;4(1):28-31.Doi: 10.18178/joaat.4.1.28-31
18. Prakongkep N., Gilkes R.J., Wiriyaakitnateekul W., Duangchan A. & Darunsontaya. The Effects of Pyrolysis Conditions on the Chemical and Physical Properties of Rice Husk Biochar: *International Journal of Material Science (IJMSCI)*. 2013;3(3) https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283347475_The_Effects_of_Pyrolysis_Conditions_on_the_Chemical_and_Physical_Properties_of_Rice_Husk_Biochar
19. Hadley Wickham, Romain François, Lionel Henry and Kirill Müller (2022). dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. <https://dplyr.tidyverse.org>, <https://github.com/tidyverse/dplyr>.
20. Felipe de Mendiburu and Muhammad Yaseen(2020). agricolae: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. R package version 1.4. 0 , <https://myaseen208.github.io/agricolae/><https://cran.r-project.org/package=agricolae>
21. Alkharabsheh, H.M., Seleiman, M.F. Battaglia, M.L. Shami,A., Jalal, R.S., Alhammad, B.A. et al. Biochar and Its Broad Impacts in Soil Quality and Fertility, Nutrient Leaching and Crop Productivity: A Review *Agronomy*. 2021; 11, 993. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050993>.
22. Hanpattanakit P., Vanitchung S., Saeng-Ngam S., Pearaksa P. Effect of Biochar on Red Chili Growth and Production in Heavy Acid Soil, *Chemical Engineering Transactions*. 2021;83: 283-288 DOI:10.3303/CET2183048
23. Mollick et al., 2020. Effect of Biochar on Yield and Quality of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) Tuber. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management* 2020, 11(5), 445-450. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2020.2140](https://doi.org/10.23910/1.2020.2140)
24. Rawat, J.; Saxena, J.; Sanwal, P. Biochar: A sustainable approach for improving plant growth and soil properties. In *Biochar—An Imperative Amendment for Soil and the Environment*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2019. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.82151
25. Rubin, R.L.; Anderson, T.R.; Ballantine, K.A. Biochar simultaneously reduces nutrient leaching and greenhouse gas emissions in restored wetland soils.2020;11:1–11 <https://pubag.nal.usda.gov/catalog/7219949>
26. Liu, X., Zhang, A., Ji, C., Joseph, S., Bian, R., Li, L. et al. Bio char's effect on crop productivity and the dependence on EXPERIMENTAL conditions—a meta-analysis of LITERATURE data. *Plant and Soil*, 2013; 373(1-2): 583–594. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1806-x>
27. El-Naggar, A.; El-Naggar, A.H.; Shaheen, S.M.; Sarkar, B.; Chang, S.X.; Tsang, D.C.W.; Rinklebe, J.; Ok, Y.S. Biochar composition-dependent impacts on soil nutrient release, carbon mineralization, and potential environmental risk: A review. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2019**; 241: 458–467. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Batool, A., Taj, S., Rashid, A., Khalid, A., Qadeer, S., Saleem, A. R. et al. Potential of soil amendments (Biochar and Gypsum) in increasing water use efficiency of *Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench [Abstract]. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2015; 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00733>

29. Matsubara Y, Hasegawa N, Fukui H. Incidence of Fusarium root rot in asparagus seedlings infected with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus as affected by several soil amendments. *Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science*. 2002;71:370-374 <https://doi.org/10.2503/jjshs.71.370>
30. Elmer, Wade & Pignatello, Joseph. Effect of Biochar Amendments on Mycorrhizal Associations and Fusarium Crown and Root Rot of Asparagus in Replant Soils. *Plant Disease*.2011; 95:960-966. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-10-10-0741
31. Zhang, Q.-z., Dijkstra, F. A., Liu, X.-r., Wang, Y.-d.,Huang, J. & Lu, N. Effects of biochar on soil microbial biomass after four years of consecutive application in the North China Plain. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9(7):e102062 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102062>
32. Rondon, M. A., Lehmann, J., Ramírez, J. & Hurtado, M. Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) increases with bio-char additions. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 2007; 43(6): 699-708.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-006-0152-z#citeas>
33. Girvan MS, Campbell CD, Killham K, Prosser JI, Glover LA.. Bacterial diversity promotes community stability and functional resilience after perturbation. *Environ Microbiol*.2005; 7(3): 301-313. DOI:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00695.x
34. Horel, Á., Gelybó, G., Potyó, I., Pokovai, K. & Bakacsi,Z. Soil nutrient dynamics and nitrogen fixation rate changes over plant growth in temperate soil. *Agronomy*. 2019; 9(4):179; doi: 110.3390/agronomy9040179.
35. Bijalwan, P. & Mishra, A.C. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in chili (*Capsicum annum* L.) for yield and yield attributing traits. *International journal of science and research (IJSR)*. 2016; 5(3):1589-1592. https://www.ijsr.net/search_index_results_paperid.php?id=NOV162097
36. Shumbulo A, Nigussie M, Alamerew S (2017) Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Hot Pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) Genotypes for Yield and its Components in Ethiopia. *Adv Crop Sci Tech* 5: 277. doi: 10.4172/2329-8863.1000277
37. Belay, F. & Tsehaye, Y. Variability, Association and Path Coefficient Analysis of Green Pod Yieldand Yield Components of Hot pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) Landraces at Mereb Lehke, Northern Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*. 2019;12(1):58-69, DOI:10.5897/JPBCS2019.0840
38. Silva, A.R.D, Rego, E.R.D, Pessoa, A.M.D.S & Rego, M.M.D. Correlation network analysis between phenotypic and genotypic traits of chilli pepper" *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*. 2016; 51 (4):372-377. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2016000400010>
39. Odunayo Joseph Olawuyi1*, Segun Gbolagade Jonathan2, Fikayo Ezekiel Babatunde3, Busayo Joshua Babalola2, Ola Oluwa Simon Yaya4, James Oludare Agbolade5. et al. Accession x Treatment Interaction, Variability and Correlation Studies of Pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) under the Influence of Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Fungus (*Glomus clarum*) and Cow Dung. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2014; 5: 683-690.
40. Nurhidayati N., Mariati N. Utilization of maize cob biochar and rice husk charcoal as soil amendments for improving acid soil fertility and productivity, *Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management*. 2014; 2(1): 223-230.
41. Zhang, C.; Lin, Y.; Tian, X.; Xu, Q.; Chen, Z.; Lin, W. Tobacco bacterial wilt suppression with biochar soil addition associates to improved soil physiochemical properties and increased rhizosphere bacteria abundance. *Appl. Soil Ecol*.2017; 112: 90–96 <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20173070195>
42. Major J, Rondon M, Molina D, Riha SJ, Lehmann J. Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian Savanna oxisol. *Plant and soil*. 2010; 333: 117-128. Link: <https://bit.ly/328NoNR>
43. Bedassa M. Soil acid Management using Biochar: Review. *Int J Agric Sc Food Technol*. 2020; 6(2): 211-217. DOI: [10.17352/2455-815X.000076](https://doi.org/10.17352/2455-815X.000076)
44. Muhammad A, Muhammad I, Muhammad R, Kawsar A, Kamran S,Izhar ul H, Shah F . Biochar improves phosphorus use efficiency of organic-inorganic fertilizers, maize-wheat productivity and soil

- quality in a low fertility alkaline soil. *Field Crop Res.* 2017; 214:25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.08.01>
45. Gao S, Thomas HDL. Influence of biochar on soil nutrient transformations, nutrient leaching, and crop yield. *Adv Plants Agric Res.* 2016; 4(5):348-362. DOI: [10.15406/apar.2016.04.00150](https://doi.org/10.15406/apar.2016.04.00150)
46. Deluca TH, Mackenzie MD, Gundale MJ. Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformations. In Lehmann J. and Joseph S. (Eds.). *Biochar for environmental management: Science and Technology.* 2009; 251-270.
47. Griffith B. Essential role of phosphorus in plants. 2009.
48. Tian, S.; Tan, Z.; Kasiulienė, A.; Ai, P. Transformation mechanism of nutrient elements in the process of biochar preparation for returning biochar to soil. *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.* 2017; 25:477–486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.2016.09.009>
49. Liang, Biqing & Lehmann, Johannes & Solomon, Dawit & Kinyangi, James & Grossman, Julie & B, O'Neill & JO, Skjemstad & Thies, Janice & Luizão, Flávio & J, Petersen & Neves, Eduardo. Black Carbon Increases Cation Exchange Capacity in Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal.* 2006; 70:1719-1730. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/200736465_Black_Carbon_Increases_Cation_Exchange_Capacity_in_Soils
50. Cheng C-H, Lehmann J, Engelhard MH Natural oxidation of black carbon in soils: changes in molecular form and surface charge along a climosequence. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta.* 2008; 72:1598–1610
51. Diatta, A.A., Fike, J.H., Battaglia, M.L., Galbraith, J.M. & Baig, M.B. "Effects of biochar on soil fertility and crop productivity in arid regions: a review" *Arabian Journal of Geosciences.* 2020;13(14) DOI: [10.1007/s12517-020-05586-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-020-05586-2)
52. Laird, D., Fleming, P., Wang, B., Horton, R., & Karlen, D. Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil. *Geoderma.* 2010; 158(3-4): 436-442. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.05.01
53. Ndor, E.; Jayeoba, O.J.; Asadu, C.L.A. Effect of biochar soil amendment on soil properties and yield of sesame varieties in Lafia, Nigeria. *J. Exp. Agric. Int.* 2015;9:1–8 <http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/AJEA/2015/19637>
54. Silva, I.C.B., Basílio, J.J.N., Fernandes, L.A., Colen, F., Sampaio, R.A. and Frazão, L.A. Biochar from Different Residues on Soil Properties and Common Bean Production. *Scientia Agricola,* 2007; 74: 378-382. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-992x-2016-0242>
55. Chen C.R., Phillips I.R., Condon L.M., Goloran J., Xu Z.H., Chan K.Y. Impacts of green waste biochar on ammonia volatilization from bauxite processing residue sand. *Plant and Soil.* 2013; 367: 301–312. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/42952895>