

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84014
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential and Determinants of Groundwater Use in Coimbatore District of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article deals with a very important and interesting problem related to the use of groundwater in Coimbatore District of Tamil Nadu. It cannot be published in this form, I propose a serious improvement before publishing. First of all, the language that is not always understandable needs to be corrected. For example the terms "... the groundwater has highly developed...", "...the regions of overexploited firka..." and other; they are not precise and do not sound scientifically correct. It is worth adding a Figure with the location of the study area and the distribution of the samples. The title of Fig. 1 does not correspond to the information in the Figure, (and in addition, the title should be placed below the Figure). The titles of some tables do not correspond to the information given in them (and in addition, it is worth improving the readability of the tables). All these comments make the article difficult to read.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dorota Porowska
Department, University & Country	University of Warsaw, Poland