

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_83671
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude of farmers on Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana in Tumkur district of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The literature review is missing. The conclusion is abruptly ending and very weak. The selected district is purposively selected because farmers are already using this bima yojna in a large number. There are several typographical errors (e.g. 'significance in page 4', 'panchayaths' in page 3 etc., that should be corrected. Units of measurement should be specified, for e.g. Annual Income in Table 1 is in what currency?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	For an international journal, the topic is too specific that may not be extended to other parts of the state/country (problem of external validity).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kriti Bardhan Gupta
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Management Lucknow, India