

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJAEES_83634
Title of the Manuscript:	Management Practices of Goat Farming In Sirohi Tehsil of Sirohi District, Rajasthan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript provides important information about management practices of goat farming In Sirohi Tehsil of Sirohi District, Rajasthan. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of veterinary science and food industry. However, the manuscript is not recommended to be followed in the editorial process of the Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences in this form, as the approach to this subject in the manuscript is scientifically limited, with a low level of originality and novelty. Some corrections need to be made, in order to publish the manuscript.</p> <p>Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, tables and text according to the requirements of the journal; - The theoretical documentation of the study is fragile, it does not include those sources (materials, reports, publications etc.) which offers a direct treatment of the subject to research; - I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.); - I recommend the complete insertion of the tables on a single page (see tables 1, 2 and 3); - The presentation of the Discussions and Conclusions is very short; - I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references; - Recommend unitary editing of bibliographic references in accordance with the requirements of the journal; - The presentation of the results obtained from this study is very brief, with few references to other studies with similar results and I recommend a review of this issue; - The methodology used in this study is not related to similar studies and I recommend reviewing this aspect; - bibliographic references are not reported in the manuscript, please review this <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error. Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniela Stoin
Department, University & Country	Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania