

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJAEES _83628
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption of Management Practices of Goat Farming In Sirohi Tehsil of Sirohi District, Rajasthan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>abstract line 2 remove Total 80 respondents were used in this study because it is repeated word</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 3 to 5 rewrite again only better state only Majority of the respondents highly adopted the feeding and marketing practice among the goat management practices. But .50 per cent of respondents had partially adopted the goat management practices. Last line it lacks the conclusion of your finding in your finding <p>Introduction Written not related to the title please match with your title (such as starting from general and then what other studies state and what is the gap of your research review need to point out) and some statement also lacks references. The object of is not clearly indicated in the introduction part</p> <p>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The study was conducted in Sirohi District, Rajasthan, which was selected purposively When you say purposely based on what purpose you select the study area? please it requires some clarity. What criteria you use to categorized herd size as small, medium, large, please clearly indicate your criteria's. the manuscript lack Statistic analysis methods <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS Under Adoption of various improved management practices by Goat owners Remove all the following parts and take to the methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To get an overview of adoption level, the respondents were divided into three groups viz., (i) least adoption (<59), (ii) partially adoption (59-67) and (iii) fully adoption (>67). The groups were formulated on the basis of calculated mean and standard deviation of the adoption scores obtained by the respondents. What mean by MPS? At least it should be indicate in your foot note If you use rank it must analysis using index formula. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The way of writing abstract not clearly indicate the conclusion Way of Grammatical is not interesting, To improve the Grammatical of the manuscript I think it is better inviting English skill experts 	
Optional/General comments	The way of manuscript writing in general lacks scientific standard.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Befikadu Zewdie
Department, University & Country	Assosa University, Ethiopia