

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_83615
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints Faced by the Tribal People in the Bio-diversity Conservation of Eastern Ghats of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title indicates that the tribal people in the study area are involved in or have been trying to conserve the area's biodiversity, and they have been facing certain constraints in doing so. However, the delineation made in the paper has not mentioned anything like that. Thus, the title of the paper is somewhat misleading. The author must change it to represent the paper's content properly. 2. The paper has no context; it has not described the problems to be addressed in the paper/research. To make it a meaningful research paper, the author must describe the past and present bio-diversity of the area under the study, the issues related to depleting bio-diversity of the area and the tribal people's needs to conserve the bio-diversity by delineating the relationship between the tribes under the study and the flora, fauna and bio-masses of the area. 3. The author must provide some of the crucial questions asked to the respondents and describe in detail the process of ranking them (like a specific scale for putting scores to the responses). 4. The author must also discuss to what extent the tribal people have access to and authority over the natural resources and biodiversity components. Without access and authority, there is no point in discussing the conservation of biodiversity. The author must look at the context of conservation to find out the relations between the state authority and community authority and responsibility and necessity over these components. 5. Without proper deliberation of the abovementioned aspects, the paper seems to be a simple opinion study, not a research paper. However, if the author has those data, it can be added and interpreted to make the paper meaningful and publishable. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C.J. Sonowal
Department, University & Country	International Institute for Population Sciences, India