

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_83356
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis of cashew nut production in India With special reference to Kerala
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The purpose of the research is stated directly without any opening sentences. - There are differences in the research objectives in the abstract and in the introductions - The abstract only contains the objectives, methods and results of the research as well as keywords other than the titles. So, there isn't conclusion. - The results in the abstract do not reflect the research results that answer the research objectives. <p>Introduction:</p> <p>The description in the introduction is still not strong enough as a reason why this research needs to be carried out, and how it differs from the previous research conducted by Veron 1997. Additional data are needed regarding the development of rubber plantations, cashew nut production and productivity in India or even export development. Possible reasons why the area, production and productivity of cashew nuts in Kerala need to be investigated.</p> <p>Method:</p> <p>Annual Growth Rate, Compound Annual Growth Rate, Standard Deviation, Coefficient of Variation, Coefficient of Determinants (R2), Cuddy Della Valle Instability Index (CDVI) were used to analyse the data. All of this must be explained clearly in the method so that it can be used as a reference for readers who need it.</p> <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <p>The data (secondary data) should be presented through graphs to make it more interesting and easier for readers to understand. Do not present/display the same result data in the form of graphs and tables (see Table 1 and Figure 1). It would be better if the result data were presented in various forms.</p> <p>The reasons for the decline in area, production and productivity of cashew nuts must be supported by accurate and clear data sources. This can be obtained through a literature study (secondary data) specifically on cashew nut in Kerala, if any, or through a survey of cashew nut farmers in the area.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>The conclusion has not answered the research objectives</p> <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a reference whose writing is not in accordance with the applicable rules, as Gerardus, B No publisher, city of publisher, and page (incomplete); - There are several references that are in the Bibliography, but there are not in manuscript such as Abdul Salam, M. Aravindakrishanan and Pushpalatha; Behura, D. Naik; Gerardus, B; Patil, B.L. - The references are very few, it is suggested to add minimal 10 references with the latest references. 	
Minor REVISION comments		

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	This study only uses secondary data so it will be difficult to discuss why the area, production and productivity of cashew nuts have decreased. If this research is complemented by primary data obtained through a survey method using a questionnaire, it can answer the causes of changes in production, area and productivity of cashew nuts in Kerala.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noertjahyani
Department, University & Country	Universitas Winaya Mukti, Indonesia