

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_82615
Title of the Manuscript:	Stylized Fact Approach to Income Distribution Pattern Among Fish Seed Producers in Jharkhand, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In this research study methodology did not write properly, the methodology should be again rewritten and all research techniques mention properly.</li> <li>2. In the paper no mention of any old and recent studies related to the research problems. Please add a Review of the literature part.</li> <li>3. Mention the sample sizes define properly and explain it.</li> <li>4. fig 2 and 4 do not mention the data sources properly and the data unit is not mentioned in fig 2. Please add it.</li> <li>5. Improve the grammatical mistake and check the plagiarism.</li> <li>6. Key words not proper standard format need to be Improved Keywords as per the JEL Classification Codes of American Economic Association. for exam <a href="https://www.aeaweb.org/jel/guide/jel.php">https://www.aeaweb.org/jel/guide/jel.php</a></li> <li>7. After improving all points – write again the Conclusion and Recommendations.</li> <li>8. References are not written as per the cited standard</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Sandeep Kumar Baliyan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Giri Institute of Development Studies, India</b>