

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_82262 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A study on level of Awareness of Farmers about Agri Waste Management practices in Medak district of Telangana |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Introduction 1. It is too short and does not give enough background to the issues under consideration. It should give a global outlook on agri-waste with key scenarios before narrowing down to Telangana 2. Not enough references to literature 3. The problem statement and objectives of the study are not very visible A minimum 6 to 10 references should be enough to clearly address the deficiencies in this section.</p> <p>Discussion The discussion component of this section is inadequate It fails to draw in literature to make significant meaning of the findings A minimum of 15 to 20 references can aid in making very good discussions. 1. How does the current awareness levels impact the current environmental situation 2. Are the levels good enough and how will it impact future waste practices 3. Challenges of farmers affecting good sustainable practices and strategies to improve awareness (per the profiles)</p> <p>Conclusion This is more of summary of findings than conclusion No recommendations</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Check and improve typographical and grammatical errors | |
| Optional/General comments | This paper has potential but needs a lot of improvement in all sections to become dependable academic literature | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Emmanuel Kombiok |
| Department, University & Country | University of Energy and Natural Resources, Ghana |