

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_81783
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Microfinance in Functioning of Women Self-Help Groups (SHG) Under Bank Linkage Programme in the Rayalaseema Region of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1.Introduction & Background</p> <p>2. Methodology</p> <p>3. Conclusion</p> <p>4. Contribution and implication of the study</p>	<p>1. Paragraphs 1 and 2 should be revised to say something about why the topic of the paper is important or otherwise be deleted.</p> <p>2. The author has stated unclear research gap by not identifying the unanswered question, conflicting results or something else that the signal this gap. In addition, the author has not argued why it is important to fill the identified research gap.</p> <p>3. The purpose or the objective of the study is also unclear as a result of not identifying the research gap.</p> <p>4. These omissions make it difficult to relate the discussion to the literature review</p> <p>5. The author needs to discuss the critical issues or concerns of microfinance in SHG that warrant the study in the context of Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>6 Author needs to provide empirical justification and support for personal interviews, purposive and random sampling</p> <p>7. Author needs to provide justification for pre-interview</p> <p>9. Author must also show how the data was recorded</p> <p>10. Author needs to provide some support to determine if the objective of the study has been duly achieved.</p> <p>11. Author should clearly state the contribution to literature and the practical implication of the results</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>5 Data Analysis</p> <p>6. Limitation of study and future work</p> <p>7. Suggestion.</p> <p>8.</p>	<p>11, Author needs to include demographic analysis, if any</p> <p>12. Author should provide some limitation of study for future work</p> <p>13. Suggestion should be summary of results</p> <p>14. Author should note that literature review is not compilation of prior studies but rather a review for identifying unanswered research question.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>Topic</p> <p>Result and Discussion</p> <p>Introduction and background of the study</p>	<p>14 Topic is very interesting</p> <p>15 Author has provided detailed findings and elaborate discussion</p> <p>16. if possible, author should separate the discussion from results in order to determine how the research question has been well addressed</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeff Lamptey
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Ghana