

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_81696
Title of the Manuscript:	PURCHASE INTENTION RECIPIENTS OF THE SOCIAL SAFETY NET (JPS) GEMILANG PROGRAM ON PRODUCTS LOCAL MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM (MSEs) PROVINCE OF WEST NUSA TENGGARA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. A bit confusing about analysis results and table showed. Better includes for example: Based on results of Table 1, Table 2 and so on or Image 1, Image 2 and so forth. It is easier for reader to understand.</p> <p>2. Author just reporting a result gained from the analysis. There is no further discussion why recipient is not satisfied to have risk have preferential low and why result showed like that. Author need to highlight which result is high and why. Have support by citation to see either this is a new result for this study.</p> <p>3. Author also don't mention about future studies and recommendations. It can address the effect, emergence of new theory or evidence/result and other phenomenon on your research problem.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Abstract - It is better author just highlight which result that high and significant to study only.</p> <p>Background of study - It is a good study and have a lot of fact and information but there is no citation to that statement. Either is from newspaper, magazines or journal</p> <p>Literature Review - Lack of citation related to the point of literature and has outdated citation. Suggestions for citation: 5 years and less</p> <p>Method of research - Why author determine to get only 100 samples in 10 regencies/City in the Province of West Nusa Tenggara? Can put the details explanation.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Azureen Binti Rozekhi
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia