

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_80963
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMER'S PRODUCER ORGANISATION (FPO) – COLLECTIVE STEPS TOWARDS LUCRATIVE AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic chosen by the researcher is relevant to the prevailing conditions with respect to Farmer Producer Organizations (FPO) in India. The researcher has focussed only on secondary data and reviewed the old papers.</p> <p>The reviewed chapters should be written with empirical references. Common spelling mistakes needs to be taken care while writing the paper. Reference section should be modified according to the needs of journal.</p> <p>The paper looks like seminar report submitted for academic purposes.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The tables and figures needs to be changed according to format of the journal. The author casually had given the explanation for the establishment and accomplishment of Women FPOs. The discussion part is included casually.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is good topic to be published but needs careful presentation of the facts with empirical references and apt conclusion.</p> <p>The paper needs to be renamed as COLLECTIVE STEPS TOWARDS LUCRATIVE AGRICULTURE - Special Emphasis On Women FPOs.</p> <p>Paper needs to be revised thoroughly.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabhuling Tevari
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, India