

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_80962
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Power Relations Among Actors In The Sesame Value Chain In Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Introduction part:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction part starting from line 18 is not about introduction; it is about result and discussion or if it is not your finding, you didn't acknowledge.</li> <li>2. The objective/s is/are not clearly specified and the same with the title.</li> </ol> <p><b>Methodology part:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The sample size (58) determination is not clearly indicated.</li> <li>2. What sampling technique is used to select four districts?</li> </ol> <p><b>Results and discussions part:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This part is not supported by tables or figures and simply putting numbers in the paragraph.</li> <li>2. The identified sesame value chain actors in the discussion and which is included in the map were not similar (inconsistency).</li> <li>3. Include the power relation among sesame value chain actors since it is the main focus of the study (see the title!).</li> </ol> <p><b>Conclusions and recommendations part:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It seems too general; make it specific based on the major findings.</li> </ol> <p><b>References</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Include all citations in the manuscript in the reference part and vice-versa.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Methods of data analysis in relation with the objectives to be achieved were not clearly described.</li> <li>2. The Abstract should be revised accordingly.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Remove the value chain theory next to introduction part or bring it under introduction part.</li> <li>2. Try to restrict the scope of the study (district, region or country level?). it seems mixed.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dabesa Wegari Obosha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agribusiness and Value Chain Management Ambo University , Ethiopia</b>