

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_80958
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS AFFECTING THE PERCEPTION OF FARMERS TOWARDS SOIL HEALTH CARD (SHC) SCHEME IN RAYALASEEMA REGION OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - firstly, it is important to introduce what is the soil health card (SHC): its function and mechanism of its work - Some of the dependent variables used for correlation analyses and multilinear regression can be interpreted quantitatively, such as age (year), education (year of formal education attended) and land holding (acre). However, other variables require further explanation regarding their quantitative measurements (eg. Family type, scientific orientation, innovativeness or risk/management orientation). The independent variable (Y) is also not very clear, how to measure perception. Allegedly, this research applied mixed qualitative and quantitative methods, but it is not explained in the methodology at all. - In addition to the t-test for the individual dependent variable and the determinant coefficient (R-square), simultaneous analysis (F-test) is also the hallmark of multilinear regression. - It is necessary to read the results of other research on soil health cards (SHC) or studies on other socio-economic aspect of innovation, so that the results of this study can be compared with previous studies. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need proofreading 	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jongkers Tampubolon
Department, University & Country	HKBP Nommensen University, Indonesia