

Original Research Article

SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS AFFECTING THE PERCEPTION OF FARMERS TOWARDS SOIL HEALTH CARD (SHC) SCHEME IN RAYALASEEMA REGION OF ANDHRA PRADESH

Abstract:

Soil is one of the elements required for farming as it provides nutrients to the plant. Soil health plays a vital role to ensure sustainable agricultural production. “National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture (NMSA) was implemented during 12th Plan with the objective of making agriculture more productive, sustainable and climate resilient. Conserving natural resources, to adopt comprehensive soil health management practices and optimize utilization of water resources are also objectives of NMSA. As a part of comprehensive soil health management Soil Health Card (SHC) scheme was started by the Department of Agriculture & Co-operation under the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers’ Welfare. Soil test based nutrient management has emerged as a key issue in efforts to increase agricultural productivity and production since optimal use of nutrients, based on soil analysis can improve crop productivity and minimize wastage of these nutrients, thus minimizing impact on environmental leading to bias through optimal production. Governments do efforts towards these through Soil Health Cards. The present study was conducted in Anantapuramu district of Andhra Pradesh in view of assessing the socio economic factors influencing the perception level of the farmers on Soil Health Card scheme. Results revealed that there was a positive and significant relationship of perception towards SHC scheme with respect to education, land holding, mass media exposure, social participation, extension contact, scientific orientation, economic motivation, risk orientation, innovativeness, management orientation and achievement motivation at 0.01 ~~per-cent~~ level of significance, where as cropping intensity at 0.05 ~~per-cent~~ level of significance. Age and annual income exhibited positive and non-significant relationship with perception of farmers towards SHC scheme where as farming experience and family type exhibited negative and non-significant relationship with perception of farmers towards SHC scheme.

Keywords: Soil health card, Perception, achievement, motivation, Multiple Linear Regression

Introduction:

Healthy soils with wide recognized function of supporting food production forms the foundation for food system. Soils are the foundation for agriculture and serves as a medium in which nearly all food-producing plants grow. It is projected that 95% of our food is somehow directly or indirectly produced on our soils. Soils also safeguard delicate plant roots from extreme fluctuations in temperature. Healthy soils produce healthy crops that in turn nourish people and animals which assure that soil quality is directly linked to food quality

Comment [A1]: It Should be superscripts

Comment [A2]: Always try to maintain consistency % or percent

Comment [A3]:

Comment [A4]: Key words should arrange to the alphabetical order

Comment [A5]: Always try to maintain consistency % or percent

39 and quantity. Soil quality can be defined as the fitness of a specific kind of soil, to function
40 within its capacity and within natural or managed ecosystem boundaries, to sustain plant and
41 animal productivity, maintain or enhance water and air quality, and support human health and
42 habitation (Karlen ~~et al.~~, 1997, Arshad and Martin 2002). The functional capacity of a soil to
43 sustain the natural productivity, environmental quality, and promotes plant and animal health
44 within the ecosystem is called soil health (Doran and Parkin, 1994). Agriculture in India
45 accounts for a considerable amount of India's economic development, as it provides food for
46 more than 1.2 billion people and total employment to about 54.6% (Census, 2011) of the
47 population. It has been estimated that due to rapid urbanization, per capita consumption of
48 food grain in India will decrease from 14.4 to 12.7 kg per month over the next 50 years.
49 However, total food grain demand is projected to increase from 16.7 to 19.9 kg per month
50 over the next 50 years due to increased demand for feed grain. The total grain demand will
51 increase from 201 million tonnes in 2000 to about 291 and 377 million tonnes by 2025 and
52 2050, respectively.

Comment [A6]: *et al.*, should be italic

Comment [A7]: It was not cited in the reference list

53 The spectacular success in food grain production and nutrition has been achieved
54 through a combination of factors, like the entrepreneurial spirit, strenuous labour of 14 crore
55 farmers, the various farmers' welfare and productivity augmenting policies of the Central and
56 state governments, and the role played by stakeholders engaged in quality Agri-inputs like
57 seeds, pesticides, weedicides, fertilizers, Agri-marketing and Agri-processing sectors (PIB-
58 DEC14-2019). Despite of these achievements the soil health is deteriorating parallelly due to
59 Extractive farm practices such as higher use of chemicals and burning of crop residues are
60 degrading Indian soil and jeopardizing the health of its citizens, says Rattan Lal, soil scientist,
61 and winner of the 2020 World Food Prize. Hence the Department of Agriculture & Co-
62 operation under the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India
63 introduced a new scheme with an aim of improving the health of the soil 'The Soil Health
64 Card Scheme' (SHC) on 17th February 2015. The aims of the scheme it to promote soil test
65 based and even-handed use of fertilizers to enable farmers to get hold of higher yields at
66 lower cost. Also the main objective of the scheme was to ~~analysis test~~ the nutrient of the soil
67 and recommend the correct amount of fertilizer required. Keeping in view of the importance
68 of Soil Health Card Scheme the present study was carried out with objective of assessing the
69 perception level of farmers regarding Soil Health Card Scheme (SHC) and socioeconomic
70 factors affecting the perception level of the Soil Health Card Scheme (SHC).

Comment [A8]: Incorrect citation (Press Information Bureau, 2019)

Formatted: Superscript

71 **Materials and Methods:**

72 The study was carried out in 2019-2020 in Anantapur district of Rayalaseema region
 73 of Andhra Pradesh. Ananthapuram district was selected from Rayalaseema region because it
 74 consisted of wide variety of soils and wide variety of crops (Agriculture, Horticulture) being
 75 cultivated which provides scope to assess the perception of diversified farmers. The supply of
 76 soil health cards ~~is was~~ higher in Ananthapuram district when compared to other districts of
 77 Rayalaseema region. The current status of soil health card distribution in Anantapur district,
 78 ~~are soil sample~~ target sample was 52044 ~~, soil samples collected and~~ tested ~~sample was~~
 79 31824 and ~~number of farmers~~ covered ~~are~~ 68092 number of farmers. Ex-post-facto research
 80 design was followed for carrying out the study. Six mandals with highest number of soil
 81 health card holders from Ananthapuram district were selected randomly for study namely
 82 Raptadu, Kanekal, Tadipatri, Gudibanda, Dharmavaram, and Gorantla. Two villages were
 83 selected from each of the 6 mandals by following simple random sampling thus making a
 84 total of 12 villages. From each village, 20 farmers possessing soil health cards were selected
 85 by following simple random sampling procedure technique, which made a total of 240
 86 respondents for the study. The statistical tools used for the above study were Frequencies and
 87 Percentages, Arithmetic Mean (X), Standard deviation (σ), Karl Pearson's coefficient of
 88 correlation and Regression Analysis. The data collection was done by well prepared
 89 interview schedule.

90 **Results and Discussion:**

91 **Table-Table 0-1: Relationship between the selected profile characteristics of farmers**
 92 **with perception towards SHC scheme.**
 93 **(n=240)**

S.No	Independent variables	Correlation coefficients (‘r’ values)
1	Age	0.005 ^{NS}
2	Education	0.190 ^{**}
3	Family type	-0.049 ^{NS}
4	Farming experience	-0.070 ^{NS}
5	Land holding	0.234 ^{**}
6	Annual income	0.013 ^{NS}
7	Cropping intensity	0.197 ^{**}
8	Mass media exposure	0.224 ^{**}
9	Social participation	0.306 ^{**}
10	Extension contact	0.266 ^{**}
11	Scientific orientation	0.265 ^{**}
12	Economic motivation	0.291 ^{**}
13	Innovativeness	0.221 ^{**}
14	Risk orientation	0.271 ^{**}
15	Management orientation	0.189 ^{**}
16	Achievement motivation	0.351 ^{**}

*: Significant at 0.05 level of probability
 **: Significant at 0.01 level of probability

Comment [A9]: Should clearly mention what is the data collection method

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted Table

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

94 *: *Significant at 0.05 level of probability* **: *Significant at 0.01 level of probability* NS: *Non*
95 *Significant*

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Italic

96
97
98 A bird eye view of the table revealed that there was no any significant association
99 difference between age and level of perception about SHC scheme. The probable reason may
100 be the good education level made SHC beneficiaries perceive the information given in the
101 SHC. Education level of SHC beneficiaries had positive and significant association with level
102 of perception. In fact education can increase the perceptive ability of a farmer which make
103 SHC beneficiary easily perceive the scientific facts present in soil health card.

104 Family type had negative and non significant association with level of perception
105 towards SHC scheme. Farming experience did not any exert significant association with level
106 of perception towards SHC scheme. The reason behind this no association is that perception
107 is mainly influenced by education level where the beneficiaries had good education levels
108 which made them perceive well which doesn't require any farming experience. Land holding
109 had positive and significant association with the level of perception about SHC scheme. The
110 plausible reason for the above result might be that, majority of SHC scheme farmers had
111 medium to semi medium land holding and they always look way ahead to reduce the
112 expenditure on fertilizers which share major proportion in overall input costs. Hence, farmers
113 with large land holdings show eagerness in utilizing the SHC scheme. So the perception of
114 farmers towards SHC scheme obviously was at high level.

115 Annual income had no significant association with level of perception about SHC
116 scheme. Cropping intensity had positive and significant association with level of perception
117 towards SHC scheme. The plausible reason behind the above association is that, generally as
118 the number of crops cultivated per annum increases planning of farmers for crop production
119 also increases. Hence, farmer always strive to gain more profits with less expenditure on
120 inputs. One major pathway to reduce expenditure on inputs is to minimize expenditure on
121 fertilizers which is possible only by following recommendations as prescribed in soil health
122 card. Hence, farmers show keen interest towards soil testing which ultimately increased the
123 perception level towards SHC scheme.

124 Mass media exposure exerted positive and significant association with level of
125 perception towards SHC scheme. Through mass media farm information can be effectively
126 disseminated to farmers there by, farmers are able to perceive easily the latest technical
127 know-how and apply them in their own conditions. Hence farmer's high level of mass media
128 exposure will obviously have good perception levels of latest advances in agriculture.

129 Social participation had positive and significant association with level of perception
130 about SHC scheme. Farmers who participate in different social organizations as member or
131 office bearer come across various types of people and exchange their views, ideas and
132 opinions there by finding appropriate solution to their problems. Extension contact had
133 positive and significant interaction with level of perception towards SHC scheme. Farmers
134 who frequently contact extension functionaries get appropriate solutions to the problems; on
135 the other hand they gain knowledge regarding advancements, programmes/schemes, modern
136 innovations and technologies thereby increasing the perception levels towards modern
137 technologies.

138 Scientific orientation had positive and significant interaction with level of perception
139 towards SHC scheme. Farmers who possess high scientific orientation always think about the
140 available scientific recommendations to implement them in their own prevailing conditions.
141 Hence, farmers in view of reducing the expenditure on fertilizers they think for following the
142 recommendations as prescribed in SHC. Thereby, they increase the perception levels towards
143 SHC scheme by gathering the pertinent information related to soil health card scheme.

144 Economic motivation exerted positive and significant interaction with level of
145 perception towards SHC scheme. Farmers who are economically motivated set high goals
146 and strive to reach the set goals to become economically sound and stable are always eager to
147 use modern technologies and reduce the input costs. SHC scheme farmers with high risk
148 bearing capacity, high educational qualification, extension participation and more profit
149 seeking behavior always gain pertinent knowledge and ultimately possess higher perception
150 regarding SHC scheme, which made the association positive and significant.

151 Innovativeness exerted positive association with level of perception towards SHC
152 scheme. Generally farmers with more innovativeness would be looking for new ideas and
153 gain more knowledge pertaining to those new ideas where as SHC scheme farmers with good
154 level of innovativeness had perceived the usefulness of information given in soil health card
155 for better soil health.

156 Risk orientation, Management orientation and Achievement motivation exerted
157 positive and significant interaction with level of perception towards SHC scheme. In the
158 present study majority of SHC scheme farmers had good achievement motivation and they
159 are well motivated in trying out new ideas. In order to take risk they always try to obtain as
160 much information as possible and increase their perception levels. Majority of SHC scheme
161 farmers perceived the usefulness of SHC which does not involve any risk or adverse effect in
162 adoption of the prescribed recommendations given in SHC.

183 application of fertilizers and follow the recommendations prescribed in soil health card.
184 Higher the social participation, achievement motivation and economic motivation higher the
185 interest in utilizing the modern scientific technologies to become sustained with regards to
186 production, productivity and income obtained from farming activities.

187 **Conclusion:**

188 The Study of Socio-Economic factors affecting the perception of farmers towards Soil
189 Health Card (SHC) Scheme in Rayalaseema region of Andhra Pradesh exposed that there was
190 a positive and significant relationship of perception towards SHC scheme with respect to
191 education, land holding, mass media exposure, social participation, extension contact,
192 scientific orientation, economic motivation, risk orientation, innovativeness, management
193 orientation and achievement motivation at 0.01 per-cent level of significance, where as
194 cropping intensity at 0.05 per-cent level of significance. Age and annual income exhibited
195 positive and non-significant relationship with perception of farmers towards SHC scheme
196 where as farming experience and family type exhibited negative and non-significant
197 relationship with perception of farmers towards SHC scheme. The results of MLR revealed
198 that all the selected sixteen profile characteristics collectively explained about (62.70%)
199 variation in perception of farmers towards SHC scheme. Hence the variables which shown
200 the positive association should be mainly concentrated to increase the awareness and
201 perception of the farmers regarding the Soil Health Card scheme and the benefits of
202 Recommendation based application of the fertilizers.

203 **References:**

204 Arshad, M. A., & Martin, S. (2002). Identifying critical limits for soil quality indicators in
205 agro-ecosystems. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 88(2), 153-160.

206 ~~Arshad, M.A. and Martin, S. 2002. Identifying critical limits for soil quality indicators in agro~~
207 ~~ecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 88: 153-160.~~

208 Doran, J. W., & Parkin, T. B. (1994). Defining and assessing soil quality. *Defining soil*
209 *quality for a sustainable environment*, 35, 1-21.

210 ~~Doran, J. W. and Parkin, T. B. 1994. Defining and assessing soil quality. *Defining soil*~~
211 ~~quality for a sustainable environment, (defining soilqua), pp. 1-21.~~

Comment [A10]: Always try to maintain consistency % or percent

Formatted: Font: Bold, Not Italic

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5"

212 [Karlen, D. L., Mausbach, M. J., Doran, J. W., Cline, R. G., Harris, R. F., & Schuman, G. E.](#)
213 [\(1997\). Soil quality: a concept, definition, and framework for evaluation \(a guest](#)
214 [editorial\). *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **61**\(1\), 4-10.](#)

Formatted: Font: Bold, Not Italic

215 ~~[Karlen, D.L.; Mausbach, M.J.; Doran, J.W.; Cline, R.G.; Harris, R.F. and Schuman, G.E.](#)~~
216 ~~[——— 1997. Soil quality: A concept, definition and framework for evaluation. *Soil Science*](#)~~
217 ~~[——— *Society of America Journal* 61: 4-10.](#)~~

218 [\(Soil Health Card Scheme\)](http://www.pib.gov.in)

Comment [A11]: Incorrect reference style

UNDER PEER REVIEW