

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_78274
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Impact of Primary Agricultural Co-operative Societies (PACS) in Nadia District of West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Considering the priorities in the preamble of the manuscript from which "To analyze different factors regarding the perception of members on the social impact of PACS: the authors could also analyze the income level within PACS, and how women are paid by men in social development.</p> <p>However, taking into account the final conclusions, the sending of income between the factors that influence the levels of perception [...]</p> <p>In the paragraph "One of the moral and social responsibilities of PACS is to take specific care of the weaker part of society."</p> <p>Please consider the opportunity to replace the phrase "moral" as it is a principle that derives from the ethics and professionalism of the regulated responsibilities resulting from the respective act in question, PACS. I would add that the legislative documents approved by the governments must be applied in the letter and spirit of the law without interpretations and additions, or in this context the morality of this act is not discussed under this aspect, I would propose the elimination of the term "moral"</p> <p>Thus, morality refers more to the fact that it concerns a structure in the life of an individual that promotes the motivation to act responsibly, and does not characterize normative acts or Covenants, Protocols, etc.</p> <p>The chapter below states that Group C comprises almost 9% (Table 10) of the total members. The members of this group believe that PACS does not play any important role in social development. The perception of this group is considered negative. Group C members perceive (Table 11) "</p> <p>Having made this finding, please modify, correct the phrase "inactive" in the paragraph below as it is not confirmed from the data presented, the role of PACS is active in the respondents' questionnaire.</p> <p>"It is interesting to note that almost all members considered for the study expressed their views on the dormant role of the PACS, especially in social affairs with other rural institutions and the directors of education cooperation."</p> <p>I suggest you enter the phrase "in our opinion"</p> <p>"PACS needs to focus on mobilizing SHGs that also operate in rural areas."</p> <p>The analysis of the manuscript does not qualify the act itself but the opinion resulting from the authors' research given at the research date. The expressions would be useful to express the opinion of the authors.</p> <p>In the conclusions section, the authors must insert some conclusions and proposals for the benefit of the analyzed social groups in order to homogenize the role of PACS both at this moment and the perspective of the future. This would also highlight the author's vision on the level of research and especially the creative style that would give value to the research with the personal imprint of the authors. At the same time considering The insertion would be useful to insert in the paragraph below "The factors that influence the levels of perception have been studied in this paper. The main findings were that education, income and borrowing are major causal agents of variation in levels of perception.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adela Sorinela Safta
Department, University & Country	Buicharest University of Economic Studies, Romania