

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_78244
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Feasibility of Dairy Enterprise Under Women SHG in Marathwada Region of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study design and sampling methods used for the study is not indicated in the abstract part • The abstract needs to be rewrite especially the first two sentence and it is also better to incorporate recommendations on the abstract • The abstract should include more of the result rather than the methodology and background part • The abbreviated should be first indicated in full term or should include abbreviations in the manuscript after conclusions • The importance of the study is not clearly indicated in the background part • The manuscript does not include the study period in the abstract as well as in the methods part • How the total sample "70" was calculated? • Which formula was used to calculate the sample? • Please put the reference for each equation which included in the methods part to calculate the economic analysis • Why you say "in the given study most of the sample respondents were poor"?? • What was your criteria to classify whether it was good or poor? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct the highlighted part in the background with spelling and spacing errors • Please change in the given study at result section with in the present study • Please include a table footer for each abbreviated part in table besides the SI unit • It is better to change the layout format of table two (it should be in a landscape format) • Discussion's part needs to be rewrite • There are some typing errors in the conclusion part (highlighted) 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most part of the manuscript should be rewrite 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haileyesus Dejene
Department, University & Country	University of Gondar, Ethiopia