

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_78062
Title of the Manuscript:	ASPIRATION OF RURAL YOUTH IN AGRICULTURE AND ALLIED SECTORS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The introduction section should be to analyze in deep each of the variables pertaining in the model and the relationships between them.</p> <p>There is much statistical information missing at reporting the results.</p> <p>The protocol to validate the tool is not described. The scales don't have the reliability of the tool.</p> <p>The discussion doesn't feel very in depth and there is not much interpretation of the findings.</p> <p>It isn't clear what new knowledge this has added to the existing literature.</p> <p>Findings are overstated as per my previous comments about the limited conclusions that can be drawn from the survey questions used.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>There are a few number of grammatical and format errors throughout.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>This manuscript is a brief report, lacking in literature to explore the gap.</p> <p>The results seem to largely confirm prior research so the question becomes what does this study add that is new? the contribution to the field section could more adequately address this question.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hung Huang-Chia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Taitung University, Taiwan</b>