

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_77915
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Tomato Marketing Efficiency in Selected Agro-Ecological Zones of Ghana
Type of the Article	Assessing Tomatoes Marketing Efficiency in Selected Agro-Ecological Zones of Ghana

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Research samples need to clarify and define in the methodology. In one part the number of samples was determined by snow-ball sampling about 64 actors (farmers, wholesalers and retailers), on the other side, Table 1 shows that there are about 508 samples of farmers in selected three Agro-ecological zones (see Table 1)</p> <p>Why do you choose three different ecological zones? What is the difference? You may need to explain in the methodology.</p> <p>Table 2 shows that food expenditure was lower than that of non-food expenditure. Is this mean that most of farmers are not poor households?</p> <p>Education was one of the highest non-food household expenditure. How do you explain that low education of farmers was significantly influence ME?</p> <p>What does it mean if market efficiency was more than 100% for farmers, wholesalers and retailers (Table 5)?</p> <p>How farmer's cooperative will get benefit from government investment in storage and efficient transportation as well as buffer stock program?</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wardis Girsang
Department, University & Country	University of Pattimura, Indonesia