

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_77636
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' PERCEPTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE: A STUDY IN TARAI REGION OF UTTARAKHAND
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> None of the secondary studies, presented in pg. 13, seem to suggest any increase in summer temperature as perceived by the farmers in the study. The indicators of farmers' perceptions do not match with that of the indicators used in the secondary studies cited by the corresponding author. The serial numbering of references does not match with that of the sources cited within the text. E.g. The source indexed at No. 28 in the reference section indicate Kiran as the lead author and not Katariya as given in pg.13. The authors have to update weather data. The study which is to be published in 2021/22 cannot have secondary data with the year 2014-15 as the latest, or at least give due justification. In Pg. 8, what does the author mean by the changes in peak time of winter or summer? If there is any change, narrate the change. How visible the change is? What was the peak time in the study area earlier and now what is the peak time of the seasons, as observed by the farmers? In addition, can the changes in peak times of summer/winter, as perceived by the farmers, be corroborated with scientific evidence validated by any scientific body/study or a research study using secondary data on such climatic indicators? The authors have to mention the study period involved in primary data collection. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is India Meteorological Department and not 'Indian'. Only the last name or surname of the authors is to be cited in the source and not one's given name. 	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript has come out well and it is suitable for publication.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Swaminathan
Department, University & Country	Junagadh Agricultural University (JAU), India