

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_76931
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Pearl millet cultivation in Rainfed ecosystem of Thoothukudi district
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract -Too much data in the abstract. Please synthesize and mention only relevant results -Define each element to be more understandable (Cost-A, cost-B....) -please add 1 or2 sentences as recommendations</p> <p>In the introduction -please add the reference of some sentences in the introduction</p> <p>In the method section - please split this section in two parts: (1) production function definition and (2) area and data -please justify the choice of Cobb-Douglass production function -please add the estimation method and justification - Is farmland the only criteria used to cluster farmers? Please explain why you chose this criterion?</p> <p>In the results - please choose one of the two. (table or figure). -You should discuss your results thoroughly before comparing them with others</p> <p>In the conclusion - Please add a sentence or two, the background of the study. - please add some sentences for further research</p> <p>In the references No comments</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mamadou Sanogo
Department, University & Country	Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium