

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_73899
Title of the Manuscript:	Latent causes and magnitude of Farmers-Herder agricultural resource conflicts that has formed the new wave of conflict in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The paper topic is good but the quality of the presentation should be improved; Author emphasizes only on new wave of conflict of farmers in Nigeria, but did not include ant scientific approach to reach solution of conflict.</p> <p>2. This is a simple conceptual work, for augmenting the quality of paper, author should add some reliable data related population and climate change use mathematical application to prove population and climate change responsible for conflict (If possible).</p> <p>3. Data should be added of 385 sample farmers who have been surveyed to know thier view rrelated conflict.</p> <p>4. Abstract is a window of paper, it has to be improved with clear objectives, method and results.</p> <p>5. Sample study analysis related farmers have need more explanation, what criteria has been adopted to select the sample farmers and period of study should be mentioned.</p> <p>6. Conclusion should be clear with mentioned data, merit, demerit and reasons.</p> <p>7. More data figures can be add to increase quality of research for publication as per Journal guidelines.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rukhsana
Department, University & Country	Aliah University, India