

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_73899
Title of the Manuscript:	Latent causes and magnitude of Farmers-Herder agricultural resource conflicts that has formed the new wave of conflict in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Under methodology part, you have to clearly state;               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The research approach; is it qualitative, mixed or quantitative, and why you apply?</li> <li>b. Design of the study; is that case study, exploratory, explanatory, descriptive....?</li> <li>c. Data collection instruments</li> </ol> </li> <li>▪ If you have used interview as a data collection instrument, is content analysis technique is appropriate?</li> <li>▪ What is your sampling technique? In addition, If your approach is qualitative, do you think this number of sample is manageable? How?</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Under abstract part, It is not advisable to use to terms for your major objective i.e...analysis and examinations...use one of them. In addition, you have missed the methodology you have employed. Better to include.</li> <li>▪ Under introduction part, It would be good and fine to include major research questions or objectives that the research had addressed</li> <li>▪ Is light weapons are accessible in the study area? Are you sure?</li> <li>▪ It is not advisable to use such sources like (<a href="http://www.nasarawastate.gov.ng/about.php">http://www.nasarawastate.gov.ng/about.php</a>) in the text part of the paper. Instead, you can use as footer....unless you can also use the country's central statistical agency.</li> <li>▪ Regarding the title, 'has' should be changed by 'have'</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ It would be good to use number/scales to show its magnitude</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Aemro Tenaw Birhan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Debre Markos University, Ethiopia</b>