



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_67477
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial analysis of country bean in Narsingdi district of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Abstract, Introduction, Material & Methods and Results & Discussion needs revision.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>Line # 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5: Bangladesh is an agriculture based country. The growth and stability of the economy depends largely on the growth of agriculture. In 2019, the share of agriculture in Bangladesh's gross domestic product was 12.68 percent and Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) (modeled ILO estimate) in Bangladesh was reported at 37.75% in [1] [2]. The agriculture sector comprises crops, livestock, forestry and fisheries while approximately 8.99 percent of the GDP was derived from crops and horticulture [1]. Of the total 13.3 million hectares of arable</p> <p>See the highlighted ones and use one style for a word / symbol.</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHODS</p> <p>Line # 1: To assessment of profitability, the study was conducted in Belabo upazila of Narsingdi district. A convenience</p> <p>Please check the highlighted ones</p> <p>RESULTS & DISCUSSION</p> <p>Results</p> <p>i. Of the manuscript is the repetition of the table format, needs to be rephrased.</p> <p>ii. 3.4 Gross return: It is evident from table that the average yield of Bean per hectare was 10480.86kg and the average price of Bean was Bangladeshi Taka 20.25. Therefore, the gross return was found to be Tk. 128215.38 per hectare. And remain by product estimated value Bangladeshi Taka 12500 for per hectare Bean cultivation. Here the by-products were fence, bamboo which were used to made stage. Therefore, total gross return was found Bangladeshi Taka 224716.63 for per hectare.</p> <p>Table 4. Estimation of gross return</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="973 1465 1908 1612"> <thead> <tr> <th>Cost Items</th> <th>Quantity</th> <th>Price Per Unit (Tk.)</th> <th>Costs/Returns (Tk/ha)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Main product</td> <td>10480.86</td> <td>20.25</td> <td>212216.63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>By-product</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Gross return</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>224716.63</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Please check the highlighted ones</p> <p>Discussion portion is missing, needs to be incorporated as per standards.</p> <p>SUGGESTIONS table incorporated is confusing, needs to be elaborated as per study findings.</p> <p>REFERENCES</p>	Cost Items	Quantity	Price Per Unit (Tk.)	Costs/Returns (Tk/ha)	Main product	10480.86	20.25	212216.63	By-product			12500	C. Gross return			224716.63	
Cost Items	Quantity	Price Per Unit (Tk.)	Costs/Returns (Tk/ha)															
Main product	10480.86	20.25	212216.63															
By-product			12500															
C. Gross return			224716.63															



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>i. The incorporated in bibliography are incomplete and also not as per the journal's format.</p> <p>ii. While quoting a reference, two styles are used.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * According to FAO (2019) 20594 hectare of land was used to cultivate bean in 2018 and 20873 hectare in 2019. Average yield of bean was 65485 hg/ha in 2018 and 69013 hg/ha in 2019. Total production in 2018 was 134860 tons and it becomes 144050 in the year of 2019 [5]. * Chowdhuri et al. (2015) compared profitability of three winter vegetables among three, they found BCR 2.14 for country bean cultivation [6]. * Islam et al. (2007) evaluated 1.22 BCR of bean while made an economic analysis on some exportable vegetables [7]. <p>Abbreviations such as hg/ha, BCR, TSP, MoP etc. used in the manuscript are not elaborated in whole manuscript.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Whole manuscript needs to be checked for its language.</u></p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamran Baseer Achakzai
Department, University & Country	Pakistan