

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_76868
Title of the Manuscript:	Solvo-thermal Assisted-Synthesis; Experimental and Theoretical Characterization; and Biological Evaluations of Azo-Chelator-Ligand Chelates of Fe(II) and Zn(II) ions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Authors, The topic is surely the attention catching and good enough to attract the reader however I have few suggestions and query for the same.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I feel the abstract part is very clumsy and difficult to read. Kindly increase the background part and decrease the methodology part as well as the result section.2. Introduction should contain only the objective part not the conclusion or what you have reported if you did so in introduction part than what new you are going address in the summary conclusion part?3. Kindly check the spacing errors.4. Don't write the results in a single paragraph, kindly distinguish it in between sections and paragraph changing style for a better representation and readable format of the manuscript.5. Naming of the table or figure should be uniform with proper spacing and clear distinguished numbering and alphabetical sectioning.6. Why the conflict of interest is highlighted?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratishtha Sharma
Department, University & Country	Central Institute for Research on Goats (CIRG), India