

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_88321
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory quality and stability of smoked mackerel Fish (<i>Scrombers combrus</i>) stored for 20 days as affected by ginger paste application.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The methodology is not well presented in the abstract. 2. The manuscript requires proofreading. 3. The tables and figures are not cross-referenced within the manuscript. 4. In the results, the author missed explaining this study's key and significant findings, including the reason behind the specific effect of ginger on the sample—for example, the reduction in water content and the increase in protein concentration. Is it because of the ginger itself? Or due to the smoke and heat treatment of the sample? This information could be presented in the discussion IF the author took the time to conduct a literature review to investigate the physicochemical properties of ginger, attributing these properties to the study's results. 5. The conclusion and discussion are just a summary of the results. There is no scientific explanation to justify the results, no recommendations for future studies, and no indications of the significance of this study and who can benefit from these findings. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The use of citation tools is required for easy tracking and evaluation of the used references. 2. The references do not follow one style. Some references are not correctly presented, for example: 23. Lakshmanan, P.T. 2000. Fish spoilage and quality assessment URI: http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/976. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nada Basheir Ali Akaree
Department, University & Country	University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia