

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_85450
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Glucose Syrup and Energy Bar from blends of Malted Sorghum and Cocoyam Flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The objectives, methods, results as well as conclusions written in the abstract should be in sync with those written in the entire manuscript.</li><li>2) The objectives should be addressed specifically to the research, in sync with what is written in the results and discussion section as well as conclusion section.</li><li>3) Materials used for proximate analysis and other tests should also be listed in procurement of materials &amp; method section.</li><li>4) I could not find any discussion in this manuscript. The results section should be accompanied by a discussion based on the data found.</li><li>5) The conclusions must be in sync with the research objectives.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I believe all the comments made are important to the revision of this article.</p> <p>I think it would be better if the references used were more recent, at least the last 10 years. I found that reference no.13, comes from a journal published in 1923. It would be better if it was replaced with a newer reference.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustika Nuramalia Handayani
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia