

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_84023
Title of the Manuscript:	Conceptualise Scale to Quantify E-Readiness of Farmers: Sri Lankan View
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Title looks incomplete: Conceptualizing instead of conceptualise, and then the scale.....farmers... "for what factors"??? Complete it. Otherwise Conceptualizing the scale.....will be OK. 2. Clear about m-readiness on page 5, red marked. 3. We, I, you.... etc form is used like on pages 8 and 12, this should not be used. Use researcher or research team.. instead. change if you've used on other places too.	
Minor REVISION comments	--do--	
Optional/General comments	--	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhawana Asnani
Department, University & Country	Junagadh Agricultural University, India