

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_74700
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance Characteristics of Cockerel Chicken Fed Diets containing Ginger rhizome and Garlic Bulb powder
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><i>It is not necessary to give information about place where the experiment was conducted in abstract</i></p> <p><i>The aim of study should to be precised</i></p> <p><i>Did author have the approvement of ethical committee?</i></p> <p><i>The properties of dried plants depend strongly on the cultivation conditions. So the content of bioactive substances may differ in regards to the cultivation region. Was the essential oils content evaluated in the garlic and ginger?</i></p> <p><i>Some more information about the animal material should be added. What utility type of birds were used? Why only cockerels were chosen? It must be motivated</i></p> <p><i>Why the acclimatization was so long?</i></p> <p><i>The names of group should be change because this version is confusing. 1st group may be named as "control group" and the last one "G/G"</i></p> <p><i>What does it mean "Foreign" fish meal (table 2)</i></p> <p><i>All formulas in M&M are quite obvious and it is not necessary to show them.</i></p> <p><i>The statistical analysis should be described in details (in M&M section)</i></p> <p><i>All subtitles should be shortened, even they may be omitted in results and discussion</i></p> <p><i>In table 3 – there is not necessary to mark the lack of significant differences between means.</i></p> <p><i>Some formatting mistakes were found in the whole manuscript.</i></p> <p><i>Some minor comments were marked on the manuscript.</i></p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Justyna Batkowska</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Life Sciences in Lublin, Poland</i>