

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_86263
Title of the Manuscript:	Annexin A2 versus Alpha-fetoprotein in diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma: A diagnostic meta- analysis
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	- The authors don't need to explain the QUADAS scale throughout the methodology; - There is no explanations about the PROSPERO registration, search mechanism or reference recommendations to build the review. Please, register this review at: <a href="https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/">https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/</a> for better evaluation of the manuscript. Besides, the authors should use some recommendation for the structure of the review, checklists and search methods, like Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) ( <a href="http://www.prisma-statement.org/">http://www.prisma-statement.org/</a> ) or Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions ( <a href="https://training.cochrane.org/handbook">https://training.cochrane.org/handbook</a> ). Thus, we can avoid wrong conclusions about the issue addressed on the manuscript, as the positive effects of chromium or effects on blood glucose of diabetic people.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	No.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	No.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan José Barbosa Magalhães
Department, University & Country	Fundação Educacional do Município de Assis, Brazil