

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_82923
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Female Genital Mutilation Practice in South-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>Keywords do not appear. Improper target. The structured questionnaire for data collection is not provided. The type of study and design does not appear (Observational, Descriptive and Correlational, for example) The inclusion and exclusion criteria to choose the subjects of the sample do not appear. The type of sample (non-probabilistic for convenience) and the size of the sample are not collected. The ethical considerations are not specified, the precautions that have been taken into account to protect the rights and freedoms of the subjects included in the study (informed consent, for example)</p>	<p>The study does not evaluate the effects of factors, but rather describes the characteristics of FGM in the population of the southwestern states.</p> <p>We do not know if the questionnaire is administered by the researcher or self-administered (so we do not know what kind of biases the research may face)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>He date on which the questionnaire was passed and the data was collected does not appear</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malnmaculada Gil Ruiz
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Jaén, España