

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_82923
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Female Genital Mutilation Practice in South-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Add Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) in title2. Findings of the study is on knowledge on FGM than practice so It would be better for the researches to replace the term knowledge instead of practice3. If no.2 instruction if followed by authors than some modifications must be needed in introduction section.4. Show the rationality of the study in Introduction section (why are you interested to conduct the study and what is new in this study)5. Add clear specific objective in Introduction section6. The researchers must be clear about study area (mention name of the district/ areas from where the data were collected)7. Please check the comments of methodology section	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Check the grammatical mistakes2. Please follow the instructions given in table 23. Please check conclusion section	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [D1]: Add ihis in the title

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dipika Chandra
Department, University & Country	Khulna University, Bangladesh