

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Hematology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_85803
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS BASED ON GENDER IN FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTER, OWO, ONDO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grammatical errors such as missing articles have been corrected in red font while those to be removed have been coloured yellow with a strikethrough.</li> <li>2. The author should maintain one style of English (British or American). The title used British English, so it should be maintained throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>3. A request to insert references for some statements. Those requests have been highlighted in yellow.</li> <li>4. To provide some clarity concerning some statements in the methodology section.</li> <li>5. I have provided a citation for IBM SPSS and should be effected.</li> <li>6. The discussion section is too brief. A comparison was done with only one study (Azuonwu et al.)Are there no studies in other parts of the world that can be compared? If there are, the Authors should try and explain why their finding was different from other studies. They may look at the influence of sex hormones on the differences in lymphocyte count. When comparing studies from other parts of the world, the authors may look at the influence of race and the environment, if any, on differences in study outcomes. With these suggestions, the discussion will be more robust and colourful.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is an exciting study that is relevant to the field of medicine. I commend the authors for their work. The authors referenced recent publications; this is excellent.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ayuba Zakari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Jos, Nigeria</b>