

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_84057
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF IN VITRO ANTICOAGULANT EFFECT OF ACACIA NILOTICA EXTRACT ON NORMAL HUMAN BLOOD SAMPLES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript mainly involves the extraction of medicinal components from Acacia plants in tropical areas and in vitro coagulation experiments, such as clotting time, clot retraction, and clot lysis. This is necessary and inevitable for the development of plant medicine. The academic and experimental integrity of this manuscript do not see much innovation, perhaps it is not expressed accurately. It only involves three conventional indicators. Even so, the results achieved in a specific area is of great significance to the scientific cognition, implementation and development of plant drugs. On my opinion, it is recommended to publish.</p> <p>However, this manuscript should be revision However, this manuscript should be major revised. Whether the academic terms, academic expressions or academic norms, should be carefully revised to meet the requirements of publication.</p> <p>Until the references, the redundancy should be deleted and the research objectives, research methods, research results and research conclusions should be highlighted. Highlight the 4C principle, clear, complete, correct and agree.</p> <p>It is suggested to select an original article published in an academic journal in this field with high impact factors of SCI to learn writing format, language expression and academic norms; then revise your manuscript again.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huafang Wang
Department, University & Country	Beijing Forestry University, China