

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_72819
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Ethanolic Leaf Extract Of Cymbopogon citratus On Haematological and Biochemical Parameters Of Swiss Albino Mice Infected With Plasmodium berghei Nk 65
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. authors must write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are many typographical and grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. The author should carefully revise the manuscript and correct the mistakes. • Abstract word count exceeds the limit, it must be corrected. • References should be according to formate. Many references in the text are not matching the format. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant and theory-based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The methods are generally appropriate, although clarification of a few details and provision of a rationale for the use of this particular method of toxicity study. Overall, the results are clear and compelling with two possible exceptions. The use of the word path analysis suggests the use of a certain analytic technique which does not appear to have been used. Also, use of the word "step" has more than a single interpretation. The authors make a systematic contribution to the research literature in this area of investigation. Overall, this is a high quality manuscript that has implications for the theoretical basis, development, and maintenance of disturbed eating attitudes and behaviors; however, the relevance to nursing per se is uncertain. Specific comments given above.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sabira Sultana
Department, University & Country	University Faisalabad, Pakistan