

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Hematology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_72819
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Ethanolic Leaf Extract Of Cymbopogon citratus On Haematological and Biochemical Parameters Of Swiss Albino Mice Infected With Plasmodium berghei Nk 65
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The main title has spelling error in scientific name of bacteria and it needs to be italic.</li> <li>The abstract exceeds the words allowed by the journal.</li> <li>Introduction, second paragraph, fourth lines: It cited the sixth reference but there is not who cited.</li> <li>It is necessary to use the scientific name format for <i>Cymbopogon citratus</i> that be writing differently throughout the text.</li> <li>Materials and methods, 2.4. there is an error in the abbreviation of the inoculated units. For millilitres is not changes in plural (this same mistake is repeated in the text).</li> <li>It is necessary to use the same format in <i>et al.</i> In the text, sometimes are in italics and others times not.</li> <li>Sixth and reference was incomplete.</li> <li>It is necessary to use the same format in which the references are reported. Any references the journal are in italics and other not.</li> <li>Reference number 27 is duplicated and one of them does not appear in the text.</li> <li>Reference number 18 is duplicated and one of them does not appear in the text.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduction, fifth line: "P. falciparum... "is the first mention of genus scientific name, so it must be complete.</li> <li>Results, 3.3: Group of 1000 mg/ml, the weight increased? The information has an error of presentation order.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Abstract line that begins "Standard methods..." (fifth line) is a line that can be omitted. Similar to next line ("the experimental mice...") can be omitted or shortened.</li> <li>Introduction, second paragraph: It might be necessary to specify where these languages originate.</li> <li>Tables 1 and 2 can be omitted, the information within them may be in the text.</li> <li>Results, 3.4: previously, abbreviations were used in the text, it is not necessary to explain them again.</li> <li>Some results have a long explanation, it should be in the discussion.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Jorge Alberto Alfonso Toledo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico</i>