

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_89078
Title of the Manuscript:	Antidiabetic Potentials of Flour Composites of Finger Millet, Bambara Groundnut and 'Khain' (Lecaniodiscus Cupanioides) on Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>First for the summary :- It was mentioned that 48 rats were used, while in the materials part it was mentioned that 80 rats were used, so please standardize the number of mice in all parts of the research, Also, please briefly mention the division of the group in the summary. The conclusion must be extracted from the summary and some recommendations should be added.</p> <p>Second, in the materials part, please use the term positive control group instead of d control and also negative control group instead of n control.</p> <p>Third, in the table to clarify the experimental groups, please write the content of the group, not the summary</p> <p>Fourth in the results:- Please unify the work in this part so that it becomes all tables or all illustrative forms so that it is smooth in presenting the results.</p> <p>Fifthly, in Table No. 4 in the results, we find that its title is different from its content, as in the title mentioned liver enzymes and renal , while in the content there are only liver enzymes.</p> <p>Sixth:- Please add the recommendations part to clarify the most important recommendations that the research came out with</p> <p>Seventh: - References, please update them so that no less than three research papers are added during the years (2019-2020-2021-2022)</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lobna Saad Mohammed Abd-Elmeged</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AL-Baha University, Egypt</b>