

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_82015
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical and Functional Properties of Composite Flours Made from Fermented Yellow Maize, Bambara Groundnut, and Mango Fruit for 'Ogi' Production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conclusion should be enhanced. Reading the manuscript there are no clear indication why the 85/10/5 formulation should be considered the best alternative. Authors must make clear in Results or in Conclusion what were the criterions to select any of the flours as 'best'. Bulk density in Table 2 is contra-intuitive: It is hard to accept that Samples A, C and D have ~0.55g/L density and B, D and E ~1.75, as the density should roughly be a pondered sum of the individual densities of the components. It was expected that the bulk density followed a trend, as does other proprieties. This must be addressed, reviewing the data or better explaining these results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manuscript writing must be revised. There are an extensive amount of spelling and agreement errors, wrong punctuation and missing information (as superscript letters in Table 3). Professional help is advised, as there are significant issues to be resolved. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would be interesting to see in the manuscript if the addition of BG and mango powder could be economically viable. 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucas Ranzan
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil