

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Food Science Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AFSJ_81518 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Household Food Insecurity Evidence among Rural Pastoralist community in Southern Ethiopia: A community-Based Study |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The objective of the study is implicitly stated in the Introduction section. I recommend that the author(s) should state it explicitly and clearly. This can be done at the end of the introduction section or separately after the introduction section. The manuscript should be reviewed by expert in English (be it first speaker or educated professional) The researchers must indicate whether there is competing interest or not in the manuscript. The researcher(s) should focus on showing research gap in "Introduction: section rather than merely focusing on presenting facts about food security. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Editing for words, propositions, etc as I tried to indicate in the reviewed manuscript. The conclusion part needs to be clearly provided. The authors need to provide the lessons they learnt from the findings and discussions in more detail than what they did in the manuscript. | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is interesting but needs incorporation of comments I gave and others may give. | |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes, it is addressed. Ethical approval was secured from the Ethics and Higher Degrees Committee of the University of South Africa (UNISA) and the UNISA Ethiopia office provided the researchers with a letter of support for the project. A permission letter was secured from the Regional Health Bureau and the South Omo Zone Health Department, respectively. The purpose and objectives of the study, the risks and benefits were explained by data collectors to the respondents. Moreover, the roles and responsibilities of the study respondents clearly described. Agreed respondent, then signed in the informed consent. The individuals who were not interested in participating were given the right to do so. Confidentiality of the data was preserved and the confidentiality of respondents were ensured, not mentioned the name of the respondents throughout the project.</p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Guyu Ferede |
| Department, University & Country | Assosa University, Ethiopia |