

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_80233
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical composition of processed velvet bean <i>Mucuna pruriens</i> var utilis seed flour and consumer acceptability of bitter leaf soup thickened using these flours
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p style="text-align: right;">31-Dec-2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Reviewer Report</p> <p>Following are my observations on the manuscript titled "Physicochemical composition of processed velvet bean <i>Mucuna pruriens var utilis</i> seed flour and consumer acceptability of bitter leaf soup thickened using these flours.</p> <p>The manuscript is very interesting to read and performed in a scientific manner. Processing of <i>Mucuna pruriens var utilis</i> (soaking, boiling, roasting, microwaving and combination treatment) and exploring the possibility of incorporating in soup mix as cheap alternate for soup thickener. This also would help farmers economically.</p> <p>Authors can consider few corrections mentioned below, before accepting it for publication.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Title: The scientific name should write in Italic Font. <i>Mucuna pruriens var utilis</i> 2. Introduction is too lengthy. Make it crisp and in-line with title and objective of the manuscript. 3. In material & methods: Use °C symbol throughout the manuscript. 4. Sensory evaluation: For 9-pont hedonic scale methods, a panel of 15 men's is too small. Also, panel should comprise both male and female participants. 5. Make line spacing uniform throughout the manuscript. 6. Kindly follow uniform short forms such as MB, MSB or M_B, M_{SB} 7. Sensory evaluation: Change the parameter "General Acceptance" to "Overall quality" 8. Make the reference section uniform 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

ART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashwath kumar k
Department, University & Country	CSIR-Central Food Technological Research Institute, India