

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_77877
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Storage Condition on the Microbial and Proximate Composition of Bread Made From Wheat Flour and White Flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: must be adjusted because the samples used were commercial breads When saying bread made from wheat or white flour, it gives us the impression that they made the bread at laboratory</p> <p>Keywords: authors must change the keywords list; by adding : storage, white and wheat flour, proximate composition</p> <p>Materials and methods: All abbreviations must be clear in the first time in introduction even it is clear RWB, RFB, RmFB, RmWB??</p> <p>Results This section doesn't exist in the manuscript, authors must present this section separately and present each result by the corresponding table or figure Authors must describe the results using statistical analysis There is no interpretation of the results</p> <p>Discussion Please consider rewriting, when we separate the results from the discussion, in the discussion section authors must just discuss the results, interpretation and description of the results must be mentioned in results section</p> <p>Table and figures Authors must homogenize the police in figures and tables And write the significations and designation in the small font-size Authors must add the error type to all figures Table 3.2. authors used 2 replicates for each samples but in this table there is no error type so the results is not reliable</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Language Authors must check the English language and ensure that the paper is clearly written in scientific English language, to make text easy to understand</p> <p>References: authors must add recent references, in general all references used are old</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript presents an interesting work based on studding the storage conditions on microbial and proximate composition of two types of bread (wheat and white bread). Apparently the topic is of potential interest of this journal. However, the manuscript in this form presents several deficiencies; lack of information in materials and methods section and lack of results section.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hayat Bourekoua
Department, University & Country	University of Constantine 1, Algeria