

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_75810
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate composition of some selected legumes and quality attributes of oils extracted from soybean, oil bean and groundnut seeds.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript is well written however, there are few corrections required to make before accepting the paper: What is the rational of using the4se specific six legumes and mention the basis of selection.</p> <p>In the materials and Methods instead of providing two three lines description abut the method of determination it better to provide the information in a table summarizing the parameter, test method used and relevant standard reference. Results and discussion started with a table it will be better to bring the table down after at least one para. N need to repeat the names of six legumes such as in Proximate composition paragraph etc.</p> <p>It will be very interesting for the readers to see a comparison of present study results with other studies and reasons for any discrepancy.</p> <p>It is very important to review the previous work specially done recently to validate your work. Most of the references used in the study are old except one author OKREKE et al. There is a need to mention few latest references covering at least last 3 years.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Repetition of information need to be deleted.</p> <p>Some paragraphs are only one sentence such as where describing the colours were golden yellow in results and discussion section.</p> <p>Need to correct formatting of figures also such as alignment in figure 1 .</p> <p>Manuscript need to get reviewed by a native English speaker for language and grammar.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Conclusions may be provided in the form of a list specifically providing to the point information instead of providing in a paragraph.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Saleem
Department, University & Country	Jubail University College, Saudi Arabia