

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_73876
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, characterization and acid/alkaline tolerance of lactic acid bacteria present in fermented rye, wheat, oat and barley
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Begin the topic: Material and methods describing the place where the experiments were carried out. Ex. Laboratory xxxxx, at Faculty xxxxxxx of University xxxxxx For the statistical analysis to be more robust, it can be mentioned in addition to the Shapiro Wilk test to determine normality, there were criteria such as the comparison of mean and median values, skewness, kurtosis between groups, graph observation and tests such as Pearson and Shapiro Wilk. Mention that your data did not have a normal distribution so, you used the Friedman test. My point is that you should show that you used more than one criterion to determine the normality of the data. It is important to mention the authors and the year of their research, so mention the surnames of the authors with whom you are comparing your results and the year. Ex. According to Kao et al, 2007 xxxxxxxx. Etc. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are formatting issues such as spaces, font size, abbreviations that must be reviewed. The details are not pdf file 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jackeline Monsalve Lara
Department, University & Country	UNICAMP Universidade Estadual De Campinas, Brazil