

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_73876
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, characterization and acid/alkaline tolerance of lactic acid bacteria present in fermented rye, wheat, oat and barley
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The problem to be study in the investigation and therefore the purpose is not clear. - I suggest writing a more descriptive introductory section, where the research problem is addressed, and the research question is posed. - The materials and methods section must be described in detail. It is not clear how the authors carried out the fermentation process. I suggest explaining the fermentation parameters, times, temperatures, added microorganisms, etc. - It is not clear whether the isolated bacteria will be used as oral probiotics. Or why is its stability evaluated in a simulated digestive system? - I suggest explaining the objective of section 2.4 in material and methods. I suggest adding a title to the Y axis in figure 1, since it is not understood what it is the number that is on the axis. - The results are not well discuses. I suggest discussing the possible difference between profile bacteria found in the different sources. As the manuscript is short, the authors could compare their results with previous studies and try to make a better discussion. - 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I suggest explaining the results of section 3.2 in a table and discussing these results. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>I have reviewed the manuscript entitled "Isolation, characterization and acid/alkaline tolerance of lactic acid bacteria present in fermented rye, wheat, oat and barley". This manuscript presents information about fermented lactic acid bacteria present in fermented rye, wheat, oat and barley grains, and their survival in simulated gastric juice and pancreatic juice. This works is interesting; however, it needs a strong justification and a more descriptive introduction. In addition, the materials and methods section must be described well and in detail to be reproduced, also the discussion must be improved.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ariadna Thalía
Department, University & Country	Universidad De Sonora, Mexico