

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_89234
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVED EXPERIENCES OF MARRIED POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS AT UNZA REGARDING MARRIAGE MATE SELECTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This article is very well documented and provides good reflections and very interesting perspectives for researchers and those interested in the issues of pairing and the institution of marriage, it brings freshness and ideas to the subject.</p> <p>The only thing I would recommend to authors is that they enrich their work with more current bibliographical citations, there is no document from 2020-21-22, and this is important for them to take into account for future publications and research. However, it is a very well-written article, which motivates its reading and provides very relevant information and which allows generating discussion and having a sense of learning after reading it.</p> <p>I would recommend to authors is that they enrich their work with more current bibliographical citations, there is no document from 2020-21-22, and this is important for them to take into account for future publications and research</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amador Cernuda Lago
Department, University & Country	King Juan Carlos University, Spain