

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_86891
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Triaxial and CBR Scrutiny on Engineering strength of Rice husk blend geopolymer, and its behavior at various proportions.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic of this paper is interesting, and the experimental methods used in this paper are reasonable. The experimental results were presented well. However, there are some grammar errors and typos shown in this paper. I have already revised the Abstract part of this paper (with the background color yellow). I hope the author can revise the remaining parts. I recommend the software Grammarly. It can revise some fundamental grammar errors in your paper. A good journal should be written in a very professional scientific thinking and language, so I recommend the author organize the whole context logically and revise his paper according to some reputational SCI journals (with high impact factors).	
Minor REVISION comments	(1) Please add line numbers to your paper. (2) Please put Figures before the reference. Figures and Tables 1, 2, and 3 should be placed into sections A, B, and C. (3) For Tables 1, 2, and 3, please explain what Ka, Sa, and Da mean. (4) Before I revised, the three tables were not the same width. Please make sure it is of the same width. (5) The values in Table 2 for RHA(%), Kaolin(%), and Geopolymer mix(%) are exactly the same. Please explain it. (6) For Table 3, the Kaolin and Geopolymer mix values are the same. Please explain it. On the other hand, the angle of internal friction for soil is 540? Is that reasonable? Please explain it.	
Optional/General comments	N/A.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wenchen Ma
Department, University & Country	University of Nevada, USA